12310 38

DEDODT	DOCHMENT	TATION PAGE
REPURI	DOCUMENT	ALIUN PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

of information if it doe  PLEASE DO NO	VA 22202-4302. Res s not display a current OT RETURN YOU	ispondents should be ally valid OMB control no The Control of the	aware that notwithstanding any of umber. <b>HE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>	ther provision of law,	, no person s	shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection
1. REPORT DA 06	TE (DD-MM-YY) /08/2001	YY) 2. REPC	ORT TYPE Technical Re	eport		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) March 1999-August 2001
Training Muni Residential Ex .22 Caliber Ba	Training Munitions Health Risk Assessment No.39-EJ-1485-00 Residential Exposure from Inhalation of the Air Emissions from the Long Rifle		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER  5b. GRANT NUMBER			
					5c. PRO	DGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S) Stafford D.F.R	Coakley, Jole	en Mobley			5d. PRO	DJECT NUMBER
						SK NUMBER
					5f. WO	RK UNIT NUMBER
U.S. Army Ce 5158 Blackhav	nter for Health vk Road		ND ADDRESS(ES) I Preventive Medicine 0-5422			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  Risk Assessment # 39-EJ-1485-00
U.S. Army En ATTN: SFIM	vironmental Ce -AEC-PC		E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	;)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) USAEC
Procruced 110	ing Ground, iv	10 21010 3101	•			11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) SFIM-AEC-PC-CR-2002021
12. DISTRIBUT Distribution U		TY STATEMENT			A	n nno
13. SUPPLEME Point of Conta		ush 410-436-68	349	2002	USZ	28 098 ———
Rifle .22 Calibresidents breat protential for I study, air emis. This informati site where the substances the is a potential f to the modelec .22 Caliber Ba	per Ball cartride hing air emissine alth risks to to sions from the on was then use item was active hypothetical re- or health risks I substance con Il Cartridge	ge. This docum ons following the hypothetical .22 Caliber Ba ed in an air dispated. Modeled esident breather from inhjalation	ent presents the evaluathe use of military firing resident from inhalatiful Cartridge were collepersion model to determine air concentrations were. This intake was connot these substances.	ation of the pong ranges dur on of air emis cted in a test of mine ambient e combined with the The health ris	tential for ing trains sions fro chamber air concept the expo- e substants ik includ	thing air emissions following use of the Long or adverse human health effects to the offsite ing exercises. Study results showed no om the .22 Caliber Cartridge. To conduct this ( at Aberdeen Test Center, Aberdeen, MD). entrations at a location downwind from the sure information to estimate the amount of nee's health information, to determine if there ed both long-term and short term exposures sks from inhalation of air emissions from the
15. SUBJECT T emissions, abe		er, characteriza	tion, health risk, munit	tions, firing po	oint,	
16. SECURITY			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		19a. NAN Tamera	ME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Rush
a. REPORT U	U. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	PAGES		EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-436-6849

# U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine

U

723

TRAINING MUNITIONS HEALTH RISK
ASSESSMENT
NO. 39-EJ-1485-00
RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE FROM INHALATION OF
AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE
LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE,
STANDARD VELOCITY

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE IDENTIFICATION CODE: A086** 

C

#### Prepared by:

Environmental Health Risk Assessment Program

H

#### Prepared for:

U.S. Army Environmental Center

P

#### Published date:

6 August 2001

P

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

M

#### U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine

The lineage of the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM) can be traced back over 50 years. This organization began as the U.S. Army Industrial Hygiene Laboratory, established during the industrial buildup for World War II, under the direct supervision of the Army Surgeon General. Its original location was at the Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health. Its mission was to conduct occupational health surveys and investigations within the Department of Defense's (DOD's) industrial production base. It was staffed with three personnel and had a limited annual operating budget of three thousand dollars.

Most recently, it became internationally known as the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (AEHA). Its mission expanded to support worldwide preventive medicine programs of the Army, DOD, and other Federal agencies as directed by the Army Medical Command or the Office of The Surgeon General, through consultations, support services, investigations, on-site visits, and training.

On 1 August 1994, AEHA was redesignated the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine with a provisional status and a commanding general officer. On 1 October 1995, the nonprovisional status was approved with a mission of providing preventive medicine and health promotion leadership, direction, and services for America's Army.

The organization's quest has always been one of excellence and the provision of quality service. Today, its goal is to be an established world-class center of excellence for achieving and maintaining a fit, healthy, and ready force. To achieve that end, the CHPPM holds firmly to its values which are steeped in rich military heritage:

- ★ Integrity is the foundation
  - ★ Excellence is the standard
    - ★ Customer satisfaction is the focus
      - ★ Its people are the most valued resource
        - ★ Continuous quality improvement is the pathway

This organization stands on the threshold of even greater challenges and responsibilities. It has been reorganized and reengineered to support the Army of the future. The CHPPM now has three direct support activities located in Fort Meade, Maryland; Fort McPherson, Georgia; and Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Aurora, Colorado; to provide responsive regional health promotion and preventive medicine support across the U.S. There are also two CHPPM overseas commands in Landstuhl, Germany and Camp Zama, Japan who contribute to the success of CHPPM's increasing global mission. As CHPPM moves into the 21st Century, new programs relating to fitness, health promotion, wellness, and disease surveillance are being added. As always, CHPPM stands firm in its commitment to Army readiness. It is an organization proud of its fine history, yet equally excited about its challenging future.



#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

#### U.S. ARMY CENTER FOR HEALTH PROMOTION AND PREVENTIVE MEDICINE 5158 BLACKHAWK ROAD ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010-5403

MCHB-TS-EHR

TRAINING MUNITIONS HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT NO. 39-EJ-1485-00
RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE FROM INHALATION OF AIR EMISSIONS
FROM THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This assessment evaluated the potential for human health effects to offsite residents breathing air emissions following use of the Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity (.22 Caliber Ball SV) during training exercises.

To conduct this assessment, air emissions from the .22 Caliber Ball SV were collected in a test chamber at the U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center, Maryland. The data collected from the Firing Point Emission Study provided the amount and types of substances released from the .22 Caliber Ball SV. This information was then used in an air dispersion model to determine ambient air concentrations at a location 100 meters (328 feet) downwind from a site where the .22 Caliber Ball SV may be used. Since the training facility in this assessment is hypothetical, the air model used assumptions that provided conservative estimates of air concentrations.

Modeled air concentrations were combined with exposure information (e.g., number of cartridges used per year) to estimate the amount of each substance the hypothetical offsite resident breathes. This estimate was then compared with the substance's health based screening level, which was obtained from agencies such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, to determine if there is a potential for health effects from inhalation of these substances.

The health risk assessment included both long-term (30 years) and short-term (15-minute or 1-hour) exposures to modeled substance concentrations. Assessment results, generated using conservative methods, showed that the hypothetical offsite resident breathing air as close as 100 meters from the .22 Caliber Ball SV firing location is safe from these emissions. It should be noted that at most training installations, training areas are over 1,000 meters (over half a mile) away from populated areas.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	PURPOSE	1
2.	AUTHORITY	1
3.	REFERENCES	1
4.	BACKGROUND	1
	4.1 CARTRIDGES AND THEIR USE	1
	4.2 WHAT IS THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE,	
	STANDARD VELOCITY?	1
	4.3 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	1
5.	DATA COLLECTION AND AIR MODELING	2
	5.1 EMISSION FACTORS	2
	5.2 BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION	3
	5.3 MODEL ASSUMPTIONS	3
	5.4 GENERAL METHODOLOGY	5
	5.5 USE OF MODEL OUTPUT	5
	5.6 DETERMINATION OF SUBSTANCE-SPECIFIC EMISSION RATES	6
6.	RISK ASSESSMENT	7
	6.1 EXPOSURE ASSUMPTIONS	7
	6.2 TIME-AVERAGING	7
	6.3 TOXICITY ASSESSMENT	10
7.	RISK CHARACTERIZATION	14
	7.1 CHRONIC HEALTH RISK	14
	7.2 ACUTE HEALTH RISK	14
	7.3 FACT SHEET	14
8.	UNCERTAINTY DISCUSSION	14
9.	CONCLUSION	16
10	). RECOMMENDATIONS	16
11	1. POINT OF CONTACT	17

### LIST OF APPENDICES

REFERENCES	APPENDIX A
AIR DISPERSION MODELING OUTPUT DATA	
HEALTH-BASED SCREENING LEVELS	
AND ACUTE TOXICITY VALUES	APPENDIX C
RISK ASSESSMENT DATA	APPENDIX D
FACT SHEET SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL	
CENTER	APPENDIX E
LIST OF TABLES	
TABLE 1 – SOURCE PARAMETERS	4
TABLE 2 – WORST-CASE METEOROLOGICAL PARAMETERS	
TABLE 3 – AIR MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS	
TABLE 4 - FREQUENCY OF USE FOR THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER	R BALL
CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY	7
TABLE 5 – EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED TO DETERMINE	
TIME-AVERAGED CHRONIC AIR CONCENTRATIONS	8
TABLE 6 - SUMMARY OF RfCs USED FOR PETROLEUM	
HYDROCARBONS	12
TABLE 7- TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY	15

#### LIST OF ACRONYMS

AEC U.S. Army Environmental Center

AEGL Acute Exposure Guideline Levels

AIHA American Industrial Hygiene Association

ATC U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center

ATV Acute Toxicity Value

DOE U.S. Department of Energy

DODIC Department of Defense Identification Code

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ERPG Emergency Response Planning Guidelines

HBSL Health-Based Screening Level

INPUFF Integrated PUFF Model

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NEW Net Explosive Weight

OEL Occupational Exposure Limit

PM<sub>10</sub> Particulate Matter under 10 microns in size

PRG Preliminary Remediation Goals

RBC Risk-Based Concentration

RfC Reference Concentration

TEEL Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits

TPH Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TSP Total Suspended Particulates

USACHPPM U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine

## TRAINING MUNITIONS HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT NO. 39-EJ-1485-00 RESIDENTIAL EXPOSURE FROM INHALATION OF AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY

#### 1. PURPOSE

This document presents the assessment of the potential for human health effects to offsite residents breathing air emissions following use of the Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity (.22 Caliber Ball SV) on firing ranges during training exercises.

#### 2. AUTHORITY

Statement of Work, 30 November 2000, Training Munitions Inhalation Health Risk Evaluations.

#### 3. REFERENCES

See Appendix A for a list of references.

#### 4. BACKGROUND

#### 4.1 CARTRIDGES AND THEIR USE

Cartridges are cases that contain a primer, propelling charge, and projectile. The primer is needed to activate the propelling charge, which provides the force to send the projectile to a target. Examples of projectiles include bullets, rockets, and missiles. Cartridges are also referred to as "rounds" and are fired from weapons such as pistols or rifles. The use of cartridges with weapons during training activities is important in preparing our soldiers for a variety of combat situations.

### 4.2 WHAT IS THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY?

The .22 Caliber Ball SV is a type of ball ammunition, which means that it is intended for use against unarmored targets. The .22 Caliber Ball SV is used on firing ranges during training. It has a copper alloy cartridge case and lead-antimony bullet (Reference 1). It also contains a propelling charge that consists mostly of nitrocellulose. Nitrocellulose is the primary ingredient in smokeless propellant (for both military and commercial use) and is also used in the production of lacquers and artificial leathers.

#### 4.3 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The .22 Caliber Ball SV was evaluated using an approach consisting of two main parts: air dispersion modeling and exposure assessment, which are briefly discussed in the paragraphs below. Sections 5 through 7 present a discussion of the methodology used for this assessment.

Emissions data used in the air dispersion modeling were obtained from the Firing Point Emission Study, conducted by the U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC), at Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland (Reference 2). This study was funded by the U.S. Army Environmental Center (AEC) with the purpose of identifying and quantifying emissions from weapons firing. Data from this study were generated by firing munitions in a test chamber using weapons that are representative of those used by the U.S. Army during training. Emissions data for the .22 Caliber Ball SV were generated by firing it from either the Armalite® AR-7 Explorer Rifle or an M16 rifle fitted with a caliber .22 Ball, Long Rifle conversion kit.

The emissions data for the .22 Caliber Ball SV was used with an atmospheric dispersion model to estimate the average concentrations that may be experienced by an offsite resident. Since this assessment is designed to provide results that would be applicable to most Army training facilities, the training area used in this assessment was a hypothetical one. While most training areas are at least 1,000 meters away from populated areas, as a conservative distance, it was initially assumed that a person could reside 100 meters downwind from the firing point (location where the rifle is positioned). In addition, air-modeling parameters were selected to mimic worst-case conditions.

The exposure assessment included calculations of time-averaged concentrations for both long-term (chronic) and short-term (acute) exposures. For the purpose of this assessment, air concentrations were averaged over 30 years for chronic exposures and 1-hour or 15 minutes for acute exposures. Using a screening approach, a substance's estimated time-averaged air concentration was then compared to a chronic healthbased screening level (HBSL) selected from sources established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and an acute toxicity value (ATV) selected from levels established by selected agencies (depending on the exposure duration: i.e., 30 years versus 1-hour or 15 minutes). The terms HBSL and ATV are used for the purposes of this assessment. The comparison was made using the ratio of the HBSL or ATV to the estimated air concentration for each of the substances evaluated. If this ratio was less than one, no further evaluation was needed. This approach is conservative because the exposure assumptions used by the agencies to establish HBSLs and ATVs are likely to overestimate the exposures experienced by offsite residents living near firing ranges. If the chronic or acute averaged concentrations (C<sub>chronic</sub> and C<sub>acute</sub>) were greater than these screening levels, further analysis would be warranted to determine the potential for health effects. Note that concentrations greater than the screening levels do not indicate an onset of health effects, but rather the potential for such.

#### 5. DATA COLLECTION AND AIR MODELING

#### 5.1 EMISSION FACTORS

Emission factors, used to derive the air modeling emission rates used in this assessment, were generated from the Firing Point Emission Study conducted by the ATC (Reference 2). This study identified and quantified air emissions from the firing of

training munitions. The data included the net explosive weight (NEW), the substances sampled, and substance-specific emission factors. Emissions data from the Firing Point Emission Study are included in the first five columns of the table located in Appendix B.

#### 5.2 BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION

Air dispersion models are available to mathematically simulate plume behavior and to estimate downwind concentrations of substances emitted from various sources. However, specific models are not available to determine the dispersion of emissions from munitions used during training. Estimating the magnitude and location of these concentrations depends on many factors including the amount and type of emissions, the behavior of the source, and meteorological conditions. Since a specific model is not available for modeling the use of munitions during training, the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine (USACHPPM) evaluated numerous air models to determine which would be suitable for use with munitions used during training. The USACHPPM recommended using the Integrated PUFF (INPUFF) model to estimate the dispersion of emissions from various munitions sources (Reference 3).

The INPUFF Model (Reference 4) was developed to simulate dispersion from instantaneous or semi-continuous point sources. This Gaussian-integrated puff model is capable of addressing a cloud type release over short periods of time, and computations can be performed for a single point source for multiple receptors. The algorithms used to calculate concentrations assume a vertically uniform wind direction (with no chemical reaction) to compute the contribution of each cloud at a receptor for each time step/interval.

#### 5.3 MODEL ASSUMPTIONS

Some assumptions were made to best represent the firing of the .22 Caliber Ball SV cartridges. These assumptions were as follows:

Typically, with conventional point sources (such as incinerators), the cloud rise and formation are determined by characterizing flue gas exit velocity, temperature, and stack diameter. However, the .22 Caliber Ball SV cartridges are used in conjunction with rifles. For unconventional sources with no real physical stack dimensions, such as rifles, the stack height and diameter were assumed to equal the height of the barrel and the bore diameter, respectively. No exit velocity was used with this source because the emission rates generated from the test data were obtained from sampling a stabilized cloud with no exit velocity. Table 1 includes the source parameters used to model the .22 Caliber Ball SV.

**TABLE 1: SOURCE PARAMETERS** 

Parameter	Model Input	
Source/Stack Diameter	0.009 meters	
Source/Stack Height	1 meter	
Source Exit Temperature	298.15 degrees Kelvin (°K) (or 77 °F)	
Exit Velocity	0 meters/second	
Initial horizontal dispersion coefficient $(\sigma_y)$	0.87 meters	
Initial vertical dispersion coefficient ( $\sigma_z$ )	1.07 meters	

- Initial cloud dimensions are preferred to model the air emissions from these types of releases. Typically, these dimensions are used to define the initial horizontal and vertical dispersion values ( $\sigma_y$  and  $\sigma_z$ ) of the released cloud. However, this information was not measured during the studies at the ATC; therefore, the cloud dimensions were based on the test chamber dimensions and the volume of air sampled. By assuming an elliptical cloud with the prevailing wind direction being perpendicular to the rifle when fired, the test chamber's radius would be equal to the initial vertical dispersion ( $\sigma_z$ ), and the initial horizontal dispersion ( $\sigma_y$ ), would be equal to one half the length of the test chamber. The cloud exit temperature was assumed to be equal to the test chamber temperature.
- ➤ For the purposes of this assessment, a hypothetical offsite resident was assumed to be located 100 meters directly downwind from the source. The meander of the cloud is a major factor when estimating concentrations at given locations downwind from the source. Assuming that the resident is directly downwind from the source is the same as assuming that there is no cloud meander and the center of the cloud migrates directly over the hypothetical offsite resident. This assumption provides the most conservative modeled concentrations.
- Since this assessment does not look at a specific training site, generic, worst-case meteorological data were used. To determine the worst-case meteorological conditions that would result in the highest air emission concentrations, the modeling was performed using the EPA Risk Management Program Guidance (Reference 5). This guidance includes tables for estimating the footprint of chemical releases and is intended to inform emergency responders of potential accidental releases. The EPA has defined most default conditions for meteorological modeling parameters. Table 2 lists the meteorological parameters that were used in the air model.

TABLE 2: WORST-CASE METEOROLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Parameter	Input Value
Wind Speed	1 meter/second
Atmospheric Stability Category F	
Wind Direction 270°	
Ambient Temperature 293 degrees Kelvin (°K)	

#### 5.4 GENERAL METHODOLOGY

The model was run for a total calculation time of 200 seconds to ensure that the total mass of the cloud had passed the hypothetical resident location. Concentrations were calculated every 2 seconds. The model results indicated that the initial cloud reached the hypothetical offsite resident within 76 seconds and dissipated below the lowest concentration the model calculated, which in this instance  $(1 \times 10^{-11} \, \text{g/m}^3)$  occurred within 138 seconds. Table 3 contains the air model input parameters used in this assessment.

TABLE 3: AIR MODEL INPUT PARAMETERS

Parameter	Input Value
Number of meteorological periods (NTIME)	1
Duration of each meteorological period (ITIME)	200 seconds
Number of updates to the source (NSRCDS)	100
Duration/time step between each source update (ISUPDT)	2 seconds
Total time modeled/Simulation Period (NTIME) (ITIME)= (NSRCDS) (ISUPDT)	200 seconds

#### 5.5 USE OF MODEL OUTPUT

The concentrations provided by the INPUFF model were based on a unit emission rate (ER<sub>unit</sub>) of 1 gram/second from an emission source, and did not represent any substance-specific concentrations from the use of any weapons system. This unit emission rate is typically used for ease of modeling purposes. The relationship between the emission rate and predicted concentration is linear. Therefore, the ratio of the predicted concentration to the unit emission rate was multiplied by each substance-specific emission rate to provide substance-specific concentrations.

#### 5.6 DETERMINATION OF SUBSTANCE-SPECIFIC EMISSION RATES

The actual substance emission rate for one item  $(ER_1)$  for each substance was calculated using Equation 1. Example 1 contains a sample calculation using this equation.

$$ER_1 = \frac{EF \cdot CV}{t}$$
 Equation 1

Where:

ER<sub>1</sub> = emission rate for one item (g/item)/sec

EF = average adjusted emission factor (lb/item)

CV = conversion factor (453.59 g/lb)

t = release duration obtained from the INPUFF model (sec)

## Example 1 Sample Calculation Using Equation 1:

$$ER_1 = \frac{(1.68E - 08)(453.59)}{(2)}$$

= 3.815E-06 g/sec/item

Calculation provided for benzene. Appendix B provides the average adjusted emission factor (EF) in lb/item.

Substance-specific ambient concentrations for one item (CONC) were calculated using Equation 2. A sample calculation using this equation is provided in Example 2. Appendix B contains the estimated air concentrations.

$$CONC = ER_1 \cdot \frac{UC}{ER_{unit}}$$
 Equation 2

Where:

CONC = substance concentration based on one item (g/m<sup>3</sup>)

 $ER_1$  = emission rate for one item (g/sec)

ER<sub>unit</sub> = unit emission rate as used in the model (g/sec)

UC = concentration based on the unit emission rate (g/m<sup>3</sup>)

## Example 2 Sample Calculation Using Equation 2:

$$CONC = (3.815E - 06) \frac{(2.061E - 04)}{(1)}$$

$$= 7.863E-10 \text{ g/m}^3$$

Calculation provided for benzene.

#### 6. RISK ASSESSMENT

#### **6.1 EXPOSURE ASSUMPTIONS**

Exposure assumptions were selected using a typical use scenario for the .22 Caliber Ball SV. The typical use scenario was provided by the AEC and is based on consultation with their senior training advisor (References 6, 7). The frequency of use for the .22 Caliber Ball SV was required to determine how much substance an offsite resident would be exposed to in the time period of interest (i.e., acute or chronic exposure). Table 4 summarizes the general use scenario for the .22 Caliber Ball SV.

TABLE 4: FREQUENCY OF USE FOR THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY

Parameter	Value Used
Number of cartridges used per year	6,550
Maximum number of cartridges used in 1-hour	200

#### 6.2 TIME-AVERAGING

For the chronic assessment, time-averaged concentrations were calculated by assuming that the hypothetical offsite resident would be exposed for 30 years. This is consistent with the exposure duration used by the EPA, which assumes that the resident spends 30 years at the same residence. By using the same exposure duration, the estimated time-averaged concentrations could be compared with their respective HBSLs, which are derived using standard EPA default assumptions.

Using the default residence time established by the EPA, the assumption was made that someone could be exposed to air emissions from 6,550 cartridges per year for 30 years. Table 5 lists the exposure parameters used to estimate concentrations for the chronic assessment. These parameters are based on the typical use scenario provided by AEC (Table 4) and the assumptions used in the air model run.

TABLE 5: EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED TO DETERMINE TIME-AVERAGED CHRONIC AIR CONCENTRATIONS

Value Used
3.333 min/cartridge <sup>1</sup>
6,550 cartridges/year
30 years <sup>2</sup>

Chronic averaged concentrations were calculated using Equation 4. Example 4 shows how this calculation was performed. Since benzene is classified as a carcinogen, the averaging time (AT) is 70 years.

$$C_{chronic} = \frac{CONC \cdot 10^6 \cdot ET_{ctg} \cdot EF_{ctg} \cdot ED}{525,600 \cdot AT}$$
 Equation 4

Where:

 $C_{chronic}$  = average chronic concentration ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>)

CONC = average modeled concentration for one cartridge (g/m³)

 $10^6$  = unit conversion (µg/g)

 $ET_{ctg}$  = exposure time per cartridge (minutes/cartridge)

 $EF_{ctq}$  = exposure frequency (cartridges/year)

*ED* = exposure duration (years)

525,600 = unit conversion (minutes/year)

AT = averaging time (years)

(carcinogenic endpoint: AT = 70 years noncarcinogenic endpoint: AT = ED)

## Example 4 Sample Calculation Using Equation 4:

$$C_{chronic(benzene)} = \frac{(7.863\text{E} - 10)(10^6)(3.333)(6,550)(30)}{(525,600)(70)}$$

$$= 1.40E-05 \mu g/m^3$$

The average modeled concentration for one cartridge (CONC) was obtained from Appendix B. The exposure parameters were obtained from Table 5.

Since many cartridges may be fired in a short period of time, acute exposures cannot be overlooked. Unlike the chronic assessment, only limited guidance for evaluating acute exposures is currently available. For the purpose of this assessment, acute exposure is defined as a 1-hour or 15-minute exposure. The 1-hour or 15-minute acute exposure averaging times allow for comparison with guidelines developed specifically for emergency planning purposes (see discussion on acute toxicity below).

The exposure frequency is based on the number of cartridges used per 1-hour or 15 minutes depending on the guideline used for comparison. This information is based on the use scenario provided by the AEC (Table 4). To estimate air concentrations for potential acute health impacts, it was conservatively assumed that 200 .22 Caliber Ball SV cartridges are fired in 1-hour. The average acute concentrations were computed using Equation 5. Example 5 contains a sample calculation using this equation. Benzene is used as the example substance.

$$C_{acute} = \frac{CONC \cdot 10^6 \cdot ET_{ctg} \cdot EF_{ctg}}{60}$$
 Equation 5

Where:

 $C_{acute}$  = average acute concentration ( $\mu g/m^3$ )

CONC = average modeled concentration for one cartridge (g/m³)

 $10^6$  = unit conversion (µg/g)

ET<sub>ctg</sub> = exposure time per cartridge (minutes/cartridge)

 $EF_{ctq}$  = exposure frequency (cartridges/hour)\*

= unit conversion (minutes/hour)

## Example 5 Sample Calculation Using Equation 5:

$$C_{acute(benzene)} = \frac{(7.863E - 10)(10^6)(3.333)(200)}{60}$$

$$= 8.74E-03 \mu g/m^3$$

The average modeled concentration for one cartridge (CONC) for benzene was obtained from Appendix B. See Appendix C to determine the ATV used.

<sup>\*</sup> Based on 1-hour or 15 minute (0.25 hour) ATV

#### **6.3 TOXICITY ASSESSMENT**

The potential for health effects was determined by comparing time-averaged air concentrations to health-based screening levels, which are developed from a substance's known toxicity. These toxicity values typically include different levels of safety factors depending on the level of confidence of the critical study. Appendix C contains a table of screening levels used for the chronic and acute assessments.

#### 6.3.1 CHRONIC ASSESSMENT

The chronic assessment was conducted using a screening approach. Using this method, a substance's estimated time-averaged air concentration was compared to its HBSL. If this ratio was less than one, no further analysis was needed. This approach is conservative because the exposure assumptions used by the EPA, to establish HBSLs, assume that the resident is exposed for 350 days per year (assuming 2 weeks vacation per year). In contrast, exposure to air emissions from actual training activities at a firing range is intermittent and is not likely to occur on a daily basis year round.

A hierarchy of sources was developed for selection of the HBSLs to quantitatively evaluate as many of the identified substances as possible. The hierarchy of sources used was as follows:

- Clean Air Act, EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) (Reference 8)
- > EPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs) (Reference 9)
- > EPA Region 3 Risk-Based Concentrations (RBCs) (Reference 10)

Some substances have neither PRGs nor RBCs because they have their own set of regulatory standards. Under the Clean Air Act, the EPA is required to establish NAAQS for several substances considered harmful to public health and the environment. Currently, NAAQS are available for seven substances. The NAAQS for the longer averaging time were used for the chronic assessment. Depending on the substance, this can range from an 8-hour average to an annual average. In addition, since the majority of the measured total suspended particulates (TSP) were  $PM_{10}$  (particulate matter under 10 microns in size) (Reference 2), the NAAQS for  $PM_{10}$  was used to evaluate the potential for health effects from exposure to TSP.

Next on the hierarchy, after the NAAQS, are the EPA Region 9 PRGs and the EPA Region 3 RBCs. The methodology used by EPA Region 9 to develop the PRGs generally results in lower values than the EPA Region 3 RBCs. However there were occasions when the RBCs were lower than the PRGs. To maintain a conservative approach for this assessment, the lower of the two values from these sources was selected as the HBSL for each substance evaluated. If only one value was available from these sources it was selected as the HBSL. To ensure that the most recent information was used, the Internet sites of both EPA Regions were checked. Appendix C presents the HBSLs used for this assessment.

Although the general approach used by both EPA Region 3 and Region 9 is the same, the exposure assumptions differ enough so that final recommended values can vary to a certain degree. In both methods, a substance's screening concentration was selected using the toxicity endpoint that derives a lower concentration. For example, if a substance has a known systemic toxicity and is a carcinogen, the screening concentration was calculated using both toxicity values. To maintain a conservative approach, EPA then selected the lower screening concentration as the recommended PRG or RBC.

Example 6 shows a sample calculation of how a substance's estimated chronic concentration was compared to its HBSL using benzene concentration.

## Example 6 Sample Calculation Comparing a Substance's Estimated Chronic Concentration to Its HBSL:

$$\frac{C_{chronic(henzene)}}{HBSL} = \frac{1.40E - 05}{2.16E - 01}$$
$$= 6.48E - 05 < 1$$

In this case, the resulting ratio is less than one, indicating further evaluation is not necessary.

Many petroleum hydrocarbons were detected but do not have specific screening levels. Therefore, the approach recommended by the Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Criteria Working Group (Reference 11) was adopted to evaluate petroleum hydrocarbon mixtures. Based on the working group's assessment of various hydrocarbons, it was recommended that mixtures be separated according to a substance's number of carbons and its chemical class (i.e., aliphatic or aromatic¹). Generally, as a substance's carbon number increases, its molecular weight increases, and it is, therefore, not a substance of concern via inhalation. The working group also concluded that aromatic hydrocarbons tend to be more toxic than aliphatic hydrocarbons (Reference 11). Table 6 tabulates the inhalation toxicity values used to evaluate exposure to petroleum mixtures. To be consistent with the methodology used in this assessment, the reference concentrations (RfCs) were converted to PRGs using Region 9 exposure assumptions. The resulting PRGs were used as the HBSLs for the petroleum hydrocarbons in this assessment. Appendix D presents these values.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aliphatic hydrocarbons are hydrocarbons in which the carbon atoms are joined by single covalent bonds consisting of two shared electrons (e.g., butane). Aromatic hydrocarbons have ring structures (e.g., benzene) (Reference 12).

TABLE 6: SUMMARY OF RfCs USED FOR PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS<sup>1</sup>

Carbon Range	Aromatic Inhalation RfC (mg/m³)	Aliphatic Inhalation RfC (mg/m³)
C <sub>5</sub> – C <sub>6</sub> C <sub>&gt;6</sub> – C <sub>8</sub>	Secretary of the Control of the Cont	18.4
C <sub>&gt;7</sub> – C <sub>8</sub>	0.4	The state of the s
$C_{>8} - C_{10}$ $C_{>10} - C_{12}$ $C_{>12} - C_{16}$	0.2	1.0
$C_{>16} - C_{21}$ $C_{>21} - C_{35}$	NA	NA

Reference 11

NA = not applicable for high molecular weight total petroleum hydrocarbons (C<sub>>16</sub>) because substances in this carbon range are not volatile and therefore, inhalation is not a pathway of concern.

#### 6.3.2 ACUTE ASSESSMENT

An established method for assessing acute health effects is not currently available. In 1995 the EPA recognized the need for acute exposure guidelines for emergency response purposes and created the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs) for Hazardous Substances. Currently, AEGLs are available for only a few substances.

To overcome the unavailability of acute toxicity data, several state regulatory agencies have suggested that guidelines developed for emergency purposes be used in the interim. Although suggestions have been made to use occupational exposure limits (OELs) by applying additional safety factors (References 13, 14), OELs were not used in this assessment because they introduce even more uncertainty than the use of emergency guidelines. The OELs are designed to protect the workplace environment and assume 8 hours a day, 5 days a week exposures. By definition, these exposures are more chronic than acute. In comparison, emergency planning guidelines are more appropriate because they are typically developed for exposures of 1-hour or less. In addition, safety factors are included as part of the guideline development so that the values would be protective of the general population.

For this study, the hierarchy of sources for ATV selection was as follows with each ATV defined below:

> > EPA AEGL-1. "AEGL-1 is the airborne concentration of a substance above which it is predicted that the general population, including susceptible individuals, could experience notable discomfort, irritation, or certain asymptomatic, nonsensory effects. However, the effects are not disabling and are transient and reversible upon cessation of exposure."

- ➤ AIHA ERPG-1. "The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to 1- hour without experiencing other than mild transient adverse health effects or perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor."
- ➤ DOE TEEL-1. "The maximum concentration in air below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed without experiencing other than mild transient adverse health effects or perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor."

Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPGs) published by the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) (Reference 15) and the Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits (TEELs) developed by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) (Reference 16) were used for this assessment, specifically the ERPG-1s and the TEEL-1s. Since TEEL-1s are intended for exposures up to 15-minutes, air concentrations compared to TEELs were averaged over a 15-minute period. Air concentrations compared to ERPGs and AEGLs were averaged over 1-hour, as these values are intended for 1-hour exposures.

AEGLs were used first when available since they are developed specifically for the purpose of acute exposure assessments. The ERPGs were selected next, prior to a substance's TEEL, because they are vigorously reviewed before they are published whereas the TEELs are not.

Example 7 shows a sample calculation of how a substance's estimated acute concentration was compared to its ATV.

#### Example 7

Sample Calculation of Comparing a Substance's Estimated Acute Concentration to Its Acute Toxicity Value:

$$\frac{C_{acute(hemzene)}}{ATV} = \frac{8.74E - 03}{1.56E + 05}$$
$$= 5.60E - 08 < 1$$

In this example with benzene, the ratio is less than one, indicating that further analysis is not necessary.

#### 7. RISK CHARACTERIZATION

As previously described, the exposure assessment included calculations of time-averaged concentrations for both long-term (chronic) and short-term (acute) exposures. Using a screening approach, a substance's estimated time-averaged air concentration was then compared to chronic HBSLs or ATVs. The comparison was made using the ratio of the HBSL or ATV to the estimated concentration. This approach is conservative because the exposure assumptions used by the EPA and other agencies, to establish HBSLs and ATVs, are likely to overestimate the exposures experienced by offsite residents living near firing ranges.

If this ratio was less than one, no further evaluation was needed. If the chronic or acute averaged concentrations ( $C_{\text{chronic}}$  and  $C_{\text{acute}}$ ) were greater than the screening levels, resulting in a ratio greater than one, further evaluation would be warranted to determine the potential for health effects. Note that concentrations greater than the screening levels do not indicate an onset of health effects, but rather, the potential for such.

The chronic and acute assessments were conducted as outlined in Section 6.3. Appendix D presents results from the .22 Caliber Ball SV risk characterization.

#### 7.1 CHRONIC HEALTH RISK

The outcome of the chronic assessment indicated that no chronic health effects are expected from breathing the air emissions from the .22 Caliber Ball SV. Since the ratios for all substances were below one, further evaluation was not needed.

#### 7.2 ACUTE HEALTH RISK

For the acute assessment, all ratios were below one, indicating that no acute health effects are expected from breathing the air emissions from the .22 Caliber Ball SV. The ratios for all substances were less than one, indicating further evaluation was not necessary.

#### 7.3 FACT SHEET

Appendix E includes a copy of the fact sheet submitted to the AEC. The fact sheet uses the results from this assessment to communicate information related to inhalation of .22 Caliber Ball SV air emissions.

#### 8. UNCERTAINTY DISCUSSION

The limitations inherent in modeling and the added conservatism of the assessment contribute to the uncertainty of the assessment results. The risk assessment methodology typically includes safety factors that are embedded in the toxicity data to ensure adequate protection of the general population, particularly, susceptible individuals such as the sick, elderly, and children. Table 7 identifies areas of uncertainty associated with this assessment.

**TABLE 7: TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY** 

Issue	Uncertainty	Direction of Effect	
Modeling			
Modeled versus real- time sampling	The air concentrations in this assessment were modeled. Actual air concentrations taken from the field may be higher or lower.	Varies	
Frequency of use for the .22 Caliber Ball SV	Actual frequency of use for these munitions during training exercises may be different from those stated in this report.	Varies	
Hypothetical offsite resident assumed to be located directly downwind	Unless the area around the training facility is populated, the chances that a person living directly downwind is low.	Overestimates	
Use of worst-case meteorological conditions	To ensure that this assessment is applicable to most training areas, worst-case meteorological conditions were used in the air model.	Overestimates	
	Exposure Assessment		
Estimating time- averaged concentrations	Actual exposure from the .22 Caliber Ball SV is intermittent. If one were to plot a person's exposure profile, the plot would consist of a series of spikes. Since current risk assessment methodology does not allow the evaluation of the potential for health risks as a function of time, a single concentration, averaged over the exposure duration was used. In this assessment, the exposure durations used were 30 years and 1-hour or 15 minutes.	Varies	
Comparing estimated concentration to established screening levels	The Region 3 and Region 9 HBSLs were developed using different exposure assumptions than those in this assessment, resulting in more conservative screening levels.	Overestimates	
Comparing estimated concentrations to established screening levels	Comparison to screening levels does not account for possible cumulative effects of exposure to more than one substance.	Underestimates	

**TABLE 7: TYPES OF UNCERTAINTY** 

Issue	Uncertainty	Direction of Effect
Screening assessment versus calculating an average daily intake	Calculating an average daily intake allows the use of scenario-specific assumptions. However, unless the ratio of concentration to screening level approaches one, a screening assessment is useful as a first-cut evaluation.	Varies
Exposure to other munitions	Other munitions are typically used during the same training exercise. These items may contain similar or different substances from those detected in the .22 Caliber Ball SV.	Underestimates
	Toxicity Assessment	
Lack of toxicity data	Some substances were not quantitatively evaluated because they have no known toxicity data.	Underestimates
Modifying and uncertainty factors for toxicity data	Modifying factors and uncertainty factors of varying degree are typically applied to toxicological values. These factors are used to conservatively account for extrapolating from animal studies for human health evaluation, and to conservatively account for variation in human populations.	Overestimates

#### 9. CONCLUSION

Using conservative assumptions, the assessment indicated that offsite residents who live as close as 100 meters directly downwind from the firing location are safe from breathing air emissions from the .22 Caliber Ball SV. It is believed that the assumptions contained in this analysis are conservative enough to be protective of all the population including the sick, elderly, and children.

#### 10. RECOMMENDATIONS

The results from this assessment are intended for a hypothetical training facility, and actual results may vary depending on site-specific conditions. This assessment used conservative assumptions (e.g., worst-case meteorological conditions, receptor located directly downwind) and it is believed that most site-specific analyses would result in even lower concentrations. Therefore, the results from this assessment should be applicable to most training facilities, unless site-specific conditions vary significantly.

#### 10. POINT OF CONTACT

Questions about this report may be directed to Ms. Joleen Mobley at (800) 222-9698 (ext 2953) or (410) 436-2953.

PREPARED BY:

**APPROVED BY:** 

DAVID L. DAUGHDRILL

Environmental Health Risk Assessment

Program Manager

**JOLEEN MOBLEY** 

**Environmental Scientist** 

Theen Mobley

Environmental Health Risk Assessment

Program

STAFFORD D.F.R. COAKLEY

**Environmental Engineer** 

Environmental Health Risk Assessment

Program

17

APPENDIX A
REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Army (1994). *Technical Manual, Army Ammunition Data Sheets for Small Caliber Ammunition*. TM-43-0001-27.
- 2. U.S. Army. Email communication between Mr. Bill Bolt, ATC, and Ms. Joleen Mobley, USACHPPM. Subject: Electronic copy of Firing Point Emission Study Series 4 Emission Factors, 16 April 2001.
- 3. USACHPPM (Aug 2000). Ambient Air Quality Consultation NO. 43-EL-1485-00 Air Dispersion Modeling Evaluation For Military Munitions, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.
- 4. Bowman Environmental, Inc. (1999). *INPUFF2, Multiple Source Integrated Puff Model*, Version 4.1.
- 5. Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 68 (40 CFR 68), Chemical Accident Prevention Provisions, 1 July 1998.
- 6. U.S. Army. Email communication between Ms. Tamera Clark-Rush, AEC, and Ms. Joleen Mobley, USACHPPM. Subject: Scenario Information Small Caliber Ammunition, 3 July 2001.
- 7. Army Training Evaluation Protocol (ARTEP) 7-20-MTP, *Mission Training Plan for the Infantry Battalion*.
- 8. EPA. *National Ambient Air Quality Standards*. Available online at http://www.epa.gov/ airprogm/airs/criteria.html
- 9. EPA (Nov. 2000). Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRG). Available online at www.epa.gov/region09/waste/sfund/prg/index.html
- 10. EPA (April 2001). Region 3 Risk Based Concentration (RBC) Tables. Available online at www.epa.gov/reghwmd/risk/riskmenu.htm
- 11. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Criteria Working Group (1997). *Development of Fraction Specific Reference Doses (RfDs) and Reference Concentrations (RfCs) for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)*, Volume 4. Amherst Scientific Publishers. Amherst, MA.
- 12. Manahan, Stanley (1994). *Environmental Chemistry*. Sixth edition. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, FL.
- 13.U.S. Army (1996). Final Screening Risk Assessment for the Anniston Chemical Agent Disposal Facility at the Anniston Army Depot, Alabama. Revision No. 5. Prepared by the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine for the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.

- 14.U.S. Army (1997). Final Screening Risk Assessment for the Pine Bluff Chemical Agent Disposal Facility at the Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas. Revision No. 1. Prepared by the U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine for the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization. Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland.
- 15. American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) (1999). *Emergency Response Planning Guidelines*. AIHA Press, Fairfax, VA.
- 16. Department of Energy (1998). *Temporary Emergency Exposure Limits*, Revision 15. http://www.scapa.bnl.gov.

# APPENDIX B AIR DISPERSION MODELING OUTPUT DATA

	Car	Cartridge, Caliber 22	Ball, Long Rifle, Standard Velocity	tandard Velocity		No. of rounds (I)		1 round
	Number of items:	ns: Trial #1B =>	74	Trial #28 =>	77	release duration (t):	2	2 seconds
		Net Explosive W	Veight - N.E.W. per item (lbs.) =>	er item (lbs.) =>	3.57E-04	Unit Concentration (UC):	2.061E-04 g/m³/(g/s	g/m³/(g/s)
		ATC	Firing Test Results	ılts¹				
	Trial #1B	Trial #28	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(a/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(Ib./Ib. NEW)		CONC	ER,
Permanent Gases								
Ammonia (NH3)	7.00E+00	7.00E+00	AN	QN	Q	ND	QN	QN
Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	3.38E+02	3.24E+02	NA	6.73E-05	1.88E-01	3.054E-02	3.147E-06	1.527E-02
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	3.55E+02	3.44E+02	NA	7.11E-05	1.99E-01	3.224E-02	3.322E-06	1.612E-02
Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx)	9.84E+00	9.47E+00	NA	1.96E-06	5.50E-03	8.903E-04	9.175E-08	4.452E-04
Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)	5.24E-01	5.24E-01	NA	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Acid Gases						,		
Hydrogen Fluoride	2.30E-01	2.40E-01	2.30E-01	ON	ON	ON	QN	QN
Hydrogen Chloride	2.30E-01	2.30E-01	2.20E-01	Q	Q	ND	ON	QN
Hydrogen Bromide	2.20E-01	2.30E-01	2.20E-01	QN	Q	ND	QN	QN
Nitric Acid	2.20E-01	2.30E-01	2.20E-01	Q	Q	ND	ND	ΩN
Phosphoric Acid	2.20E-01	2.30E-01	2.20E-01	Q	QN	ON	QN	QN
Sulfuric Acid	2.20E-01	2.30E-01	2.20E-01	QN	ND	QN	Q	QN
<u>Cyanide</u>								
Particulate Cyanide	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	1.40E-02	ND	QN	ON	QN	QN
Hydrogen Cyanide	1.64E-01	1.87E-01	1.40E-02	4.00E-08	1.12E-04	1.817E-05	1.872E-09	9.083E-06
Particulate								
Total Suspended Particulate	OIOV	1.35E+01	NA	3.14E-06	8.79E-03	1.424E-03	1.467E-07	7.120E-04
Particulate Matter <10 microns	1.07E+01	1.16E+01	ΑN	2.54E-06	7.12E-03	1.154E-03	1.189E-07	5.769E-04
Particulate Matter <2.5 microns	8.15E+00	8.59E+00	NA	1.91E-06	5.34E-03	8.653E-04	8.917E-08	4.327E-04
<u>Metals</u>								
Aluminum	4.40E-02	3.42E-02	3.49E-02	1.85E-09	5.18E-06	8.388E-07	8.644E-11	4.194E-07
Antimony	2.50E-01	4.06E-01	1.11E-02	7.51E-08	2.10E-04	3.405E-05	3.509E-09	1.703E-05
Arsenic	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	ΩN	ND	ND	QN	QN
Barium	1.80E-01	3.03E-01	1.11E-02	5.53E-08	1.55E-04	2.507E-05	2.584E-09	1.254E-05
Beryllium	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	Q	S	ND	QN	QN
Cadmium	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	2	ON	QN	ND

Table B-1: Air Modeling Output for the Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity

	Trial #18	Trial #2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emíssion	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(Ib./Ib. NEW)	M	CONC	ER,
Calcinm	6.16E-02	6.69E-02	8.21E-02	QN	QN	QN	ON	QN
Chromium	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	ΩN	ND	ND	QN	QN
Cobalt	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Copper	3.44E-02	4.15E-02	1.11E-02	8.67E-09	2.43E-05	3.933E-06	4.053E-10	1.967E-06
Lead	5.84E+00	9.74E+00	1.11E-02	1.78E-06	4.99E-03	8.090E-04	8.336E-08	4.045E-04
Magnesium	9.12E-03	1.39E-02	8.88E-03	8.34E-10	2.34E-06	3.784E-07	3.900E-11	1.892E-07
Manganese	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	QN	QN	ND	ND
Nickel	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	Q	QN	ON	QN	ND
Selenium	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	QN	ND	QN	ND
Silver	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	QN	ND	ON	ND
Thallium	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	DN	ND	ON	ND
Vanadium	1.14E-02	1.15E-02	1.11E-02	QN	QN	ON	ND	ND
Zinc	4.32E-02	6.01E-02	1.11E-02	1.18E-08	3.31E-05	5.356E-06	5.519E-10	2.678E-06
TO-11 Carbonyls								
Formaldehyde	4.20E-01	4.30E-01	2.00E-01	9.69E-08	2.71E-04	4.395E-05	4.529E-09	2.197E-05
Acetaldehyde	3.10E-01	3.00E-01	2.50E-01	1.90E-08	5.32E-05	8.625E-06	8.888E-10	4.313E-06
Acetone	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	ND	ND	ND	QN	QN
Acrolein	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q
Proprionaldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00 <b>E-</b> 01	ND	ON	ND	ND	QN
Crotonaldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Butyraldehyde	1.54E+00	1.46E+00	1.52E+00	3.48E-08	9.74E-05	1.578E-05	1.626E-09	7.892E-06
Benzaldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	ND	ND	ND	Q	QN
Isovaleraldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	ON	ND	QN	Q	QN
Valeraldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	6.00E-01	6.00E-01	6.00E-01	ND	ND	QN	Q	Q
Hexaldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	QN	QN	ON	QN	QN
2,5-Dimethylbenzaldehyde	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	ON	ND	ON	QN	QN
TO-14 VOCs (extended list)								
Propene	2.07E-02	2.07E-02	6.88E-04	4.57E-09	1.28E-05	2.072E-06	2.135E-10	1.036E-06
Dichlorodiflouromethane	2.97E-03	2.97E-03	2.97E-03	7.29E-11	2.04E-07	3.307E-08	3.408E-12	1.654E-08
Chlorodifluoromethane	3.54E-03	3.54E-03	3.54E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	Q
Freon 114	6.99E-03	6.99E-03	6.99E-03	QN	DN	ND	QN	Q
Chloromethane	1.24E-03	1.24E-03	1.45E-03	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q

8/3/2001

	Trial #18	Trial #2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m <sub>3</sub> )	(lb./item) EF	(Ib./Ib. NEW)	M	CONC	ER,
Vinyl Chloride	2.56E-03	2.56E-03	2.56E-03	ND	QN	ND	QN	QN
1,3-Butadiene	2.21E-03	6.64E-03	2.21E-03	1.02E-09	2.87E-06	4.646E-07	4.788E-11	2.323E-07
Bromomethane	3.88E-03	3.88E-03	3.88E-03	ND	QN	ND	QN	Q
Chloroethane	2.64E-03	2.64E-03	2.64E-03	QN	QN	ND	Q	QN
Dichlorofluoromethane	4.21E-03	4.21E-03	4.21E-03	ND	QN	ND	QN	QN
Trichloroflouromethane	1.69E-03	1.69E-03	1.69E-03	4.14E-11	1.16E-07	1.879E-08	1.936E-12	9.393E-09
Pentane	2.95E-03	2.95E-03	1.18E-03	ON	ON	QN	QN	QN
Acrolein	4.81E-02	3.21E-02	2.29E-03	9.09 <b>E</b> -09	2.54E-05	4.123E-06	4.248E-10	2.061E-06
1,1-Dichlorethene	4.05E-03	4.05E-03	4.05E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	ΩN
Freon 113	7.68E-03	7.68E-03	7.68E-03	ON	QN	QN	QN	QN
Acetone	4.99E-02	6.65E-02	3.09E-02	7.05E-09	1.97E-05	3.196E-06	3.293E-10	1.598E-06
Methyl lodide	5.81E-03	5.81E-03	5.81E-03	QN	QN	QN	Q	QN
Carbon Disulfide	3.11E-03	3.11E-03	3.11E-03	an	QN	QN	Q	QN
Acetonitrile	5.71E-02	5.71E-02	1.24E-01	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
3-Chloropropene	3.13E-03	3.13E-03	3.13E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	QV
Methylene Chloride	4.52E-01	5.21E-01	2.85E-01	5.32E-08	1.49E-04	2.412E-05	2.485E-09	1.206E-05
tert-Butyl Alcohol	3.03E-03	3.03E-03	3.03E-03	ND	QN	ND	QN	QN
Acrytonitrile	1.30E-02	1.52E-02	2.17E-03	3.22E-09	9.02E-06	1.462E-06	1.507E-10	7.310E-07
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	3.96E-03	3.96E-03	3.96E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
Methyl t-Butyl Ether	3.61E-03	3.61E-03	1.08E-03	QN	DN	ND	QN	ΩN
Hexane	2.50E-01	1.90E-01	1.87E-01	1.20E-08	3.36E-05	5.447E-06	5.613E-10	2.724E-06
1,1-Dichloroethane	3.97E-03	3.97E-03	3.97E-03	QN	QN	ND	ND	QN
Vinyl Acetate	3.52E-03	3.52E-03	3.52E-03	QN	Q	ND	ON	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	3.96E-03	3.96E-03	3.96E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	ND
2-Butanone	1.77E-03	2.36E-03	2.95E-03	4.73E-10	1.32E-06	2.144E-07	2.210E-11	1.072E-07
Ethyl Acetate	3.60E-03	3.60E-03	3.60E-03	ΩN	QN	ND	ND	ON
Methyl Acrylate	3.52E-03	3.52E-03	3.52E-03	Q	ΩN	ND	ON	QN
Chloroform	4.88E-03	4.88E-03	4.88E-03	QN	Q	ND	ND	QN
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.09E-02	1.09E-02	5.46E-03	1.38E-09	3.86E-06	6.250E-07	6.440E-11	3.125E-07
Carbon Tetrachloride	6.29E-03	6.29E-03	6.29E-03	ΩN	Ω	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorethane	1.62E-03	1.21E-03	4.05E-03	3.21E-10	9.00E-07	1.458E-07	1.503E-11	7.291E-08
Benzene	7.99E-02	7.03E-02	1.28E-03	1.68E-08	4.71E-05	7.630E-06	7.863E-10	3.815E-06
Isooctane	4.67E-03	4.67E-03	4.67E-03	QN	Q	QN	QN	ND

Table B-1: Air Modeling Output for the Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity

	Trial #1B	Trial.#2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(Ib./Ib. NEW)		CONC	ER,
Heptane	4.10E-03	4.10E-03	8.20E-03	QN	QN	ON	QN	QN
Trichloroethane	4.88E-03	4.88E-03	4.88E-03	QN	ON	ND	ON	QN
Ethyl Acrylate	4.09E-03	4.09E-03	4.09E-03	QN	ND	QN	QN	ND
1,2-Dichloropropane	4.62E-03	4.62E-03	4.62E-03	ND	ON	ND	QN	ND
Methyl Methacrylate	4.09E-03	4.09E-03	4.09E-03	ΩN	Q	ND	ΩN	QN
Dibromomethane	7.11E-03	7.11E-03	7.11E-03	QN	QN	ΩN	Q.	S
1,4-Dioxane	3.60E-03	3.60E-03	3.60E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	ND
Bromodichloromethane	6.70E-03	6.70E-03	6.70E-03	QN	QN	ND	ON	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	4.54E-03	4.54E-03	4.54E-03	ΩN	QN	ND	ND	ND
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	4.10E-03	4.10E-03	4.10E-03	ND	QN	ND	ON	ND
Toluene	3.77E-03	3.77E-03	1.88E-03	4.76E-10	1.33E-06	2.158E-07	2.224E-11	1.079E-07
Octane	4.67E-03	4.67E-03	4.67E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	ON
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	4.54E-03	4.54E-03	4.54E-03	ND	QN	QN	ND	ND
Ethyl Methacrylate	4.67E-03	4.67E-03	4.67E-03	QN	QN	ND	ND	DN
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	5.46E-03	5.46E-03	5.46E-03	QN	ND	QN	QN	QN
Tertrachloroethene	6.78E-03	6.78E-03	6.78E-03	QN	Q	QN	Q	QN
2-Hexanone	4.10E-03	4.10E-03	4.10E-03	ON	QN	QN	QN	QN
Dibromochloromethane	8.52E-03	8.52E-03	8.52E-03	ND	Q	QN	Q	QN
1,2-Dibromoethane	7.68E-03	7.68E-03	7.68E-03	ND	QN	QN	QN	ND
Chlorobenzene	4.60E-03	4.60E-03	4.60E-03	ND	QN	ND	ON	ON
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	6.87E-03	6.87E-03	6.87E-03	QN	ON	ON	ΩN	QN
Ethylbenzene	4.34E-03	4.34E-03	4.34E-03	1.07E-10	2.99E-07	4.840E-08	4.987E-12	2.420E-08
m/p-Xylene	1.74E-02	2.17E-02	2.17E-02	5.41E-11	1.51E-07	2.454E-08	2.529E-12	1.227E-08
o-Xylene	2.17E-02	2.61E-02	2.17E-02	1.04E-09	2.92E-06	4.735E-07	4.879E-11	2.368E-07
Styrene	3.41E-03	4.26E-03	2.98E-03	2.71E-10	7.57E-07	1.227E-07	1.265E-11	6.136E-08
Bromoform	1.03E-02	1.03E-02	1.03E-02	QN	QN	ND	QN	S
Cumene	4.92E-03	9.83E-04	9.83E-04	2.70E-11	7.57E-08	1.227E-08	1.264E-12	6.133E-09
1,1,2,2-Tetrachlorethane	6.87E-03	6.87E-03	6.87E-03	DN	ND	ND	QN	QN
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	6.03E-03	6.03E-03	6.03E-03	ND	ND	ND	QN	QN
Bromobenzene	6.42E-03	6.42E-03	6.42E-03	QN	ND	ND	Q	QN
4-Ethyltoluene	1.97E-03	1.97E-03	1.97E-03	4.83E-11	1.35E-07	2.192E-08	2.258E-12	1.096E-08
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	2.46E-03	2.46E-03	1.97E-03	1.60E-10	4.49E-07	7.275E-08	7.497E-12	3.637E-08
Alpha Methyl Styrene	4.83E-03	4.83E-03	4.83E-03	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN

8/3/2001

	Trial #1B	Trial #2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(Ib./Ib. NEW)	M	CONC	ER,
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	4.92E-03	9.83E-03	4.92E-03	6.99E-10	1.96E-06	3.169E-07	3.266E-11	1.585E-07
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	6.01E-03	6.01E-03	6.01E-03	QN	ND	ND	QN	QN
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	6.01E-03	6.01E-03	6.01E-03	ND	ND	ND	QN	Q
Benzyl Chloride	5.18E-03	5.18E-03	5.18E-03	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	6.01E-03	6.01E-03	6.01E-03	ND	ND	ND	ΩN	QN
Hexachlorethane	9.68E-03	9.68E-03	9.68E-03	ND	ND	ND	QN	QN
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	7.42E-03	7.42E-03	7.42E-03	ND	QN	ND	ΩN	QN
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.07E-02	1.07E-02	1.07E-02	ND	ND	QN	QN	Q
Hydrocarbons								
Methane	1.61E+00	1.59E+00	1.31E+00	1.01E-07	2.82E-04	4.564E-05	4.703E-09	2.282E-05
Ethylene	2.12E-01	2.11E-01	2.87E-02	4.82E-08	1.35E-04	2.188E-05	2.255E-09	1.094E-05
Acetylene	1.40E-01	1.42E-01	2.66E-02	3.20E-08	8.97E-05	1.454E-05	1.498E-09	7.268E-06
Ethane	3.07E-02	3.07E-02	3.07E-02	7.01E-09	1.96E-05	3.179E-06	3.276E-10	1.589E-06
Propylene	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	4.30E-02	9.81E-09	2.75E-05	4.448E-06	4.584E-10	2.224E-06
Propane	4.51E-02	4.51E-02	4.51E-02	ON	QN	ON	ON	Q
Propyne	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	4.00E-02	9.11E-09	2.55E-05	4.133E-06	4.259E-10	2.067E-06
Isobutane	5.94E-02	5.94E-02	5.94E-02	ND	QN	ON	QN	QV
1-Butene/Isobutylene	1.08E-01	1.08E-01	1.08E-01	2.46E-08	6.88E-05	1.115E-05	1.149E-09	5.577E-06
1,3-Butadiene/butane	1.65E-01	1.65E-01	1.65E-01	ON	ND	ON	Q	S
cis-butene	5.74E-02	5.74E-02	5.74E-02	ND	ND	ON	QN	QN
1-Butyne/trans-butene	1.06E-01	1.06E-01	1.06E-01	ND	ND	ND	QN	2
2-Butyne	5.53E-02	5.53E-02	5.53E-02	ND	ND	ND	QN	QN
n-Pentane	7.38E-02	7.38E-02	7.38E-02	ON	ND	ND	ND	ND
n-Hexane	1.76E-01	2.33E-01	2.47E-01	3.48E-09	9.76E-06	1.581E-06	1.629E-10	7.903E-07
SVOCs (8270 List)								
N-nitrosodimethylamine	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ND	QN	QN	QN
Phenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ND	ND	DN	QN
2-chlorophenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ΩN	ND	ON	ND	QN
1,3-dichlorobenzene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	Q	QN	ND	ND	ON
1,4-dichlorobenzene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	Ω	ND	ΩN	ON
1,2-dichlorobenzene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ΩN	ON	ΩN	ΩN
Benzyl alcohol	9.12E-03	1.79E-02	1.26E-02	ND	QN	ND	ON	ND

8/3/2001

wither	Trial #1B	Trial #2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(lb./lb. NEW)		CONC	ER,
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	UN	ND	ON	QN	QN
2-methylphenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	DN	ON	QN	QN	QN
Hexachloroethane	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	ND	QN	ND
N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
4-methylphenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
Nitrobenzene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	ND	QN	ND
Isophorone	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	ND	ON	QN
2-nitrophenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	ND	QN	QN	QN
2,4-dimethylphenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	Q	QN
2,4-dichlorophenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ΔN	QN	QN	QV
1,2,4-trichlorobenzene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Naphthalene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
4-chloroaniline	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ON	ND	QN	QN
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ND	ND	QN	QN
4-chloro-3-methylphenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	ND	ND	ON
2-methylnaphthalene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	Q	ND	ON	ND
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
2,4,6-trichlorophenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
2,4,5-trichlorophenol	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ON	QN	QN	ND	DN
2-chloronaphthalene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	ND	QN	QN
2-nitroaniline	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	Q	QN	ON	QN	QN
Acenaphthylene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	DN	QN	QN	ND	ND
Dimethylphthalate	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ND	ND	ND	QN
2,6-dinitrotoluene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	Q	QN	ON	QN	ON
Acenaphthene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	Q	QN	ON	QN	ND
3-nitroaniline	3.58E-02	3.59E-02	3.49E-02	QN	ND	ND	QN	DN
2,4-dinitrophenol	3.58E-02	3.59E-02	3.49E-02	QN	ND	QN	ND	QN
Dibenzofuran	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
2,4-dinitrotoluene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
4-nitrophenol	3.58E-02	3.59E-02	3.49E-02	8	Q	QN	QN	QN
Fluorene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	Q	ND	QN	QN
4-chlorophenyl-phenylether	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	Q	Q.	ND	QN	QN

		•	Can	טאָפוֹם אָנוֹ	Average	l otal Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(d/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(lb./lb. NEW)	Σ	CONC	ER,
Diethylphthalate	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	Q	QN	QN	ΩN
4-nitroaniline	3.58E-02	3.59E-02	3.49E-02	QN	Q	QN	QN	QN
4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol	3.58E-02	3.59E-02	3.49E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine(1)	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
4-bromophenyl-phenylether	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Hexachlorobenzene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	Q	QN	QN	QN
Pentachlorophenol	3.58E-02	3.59E-02	3.49E-02	QN	ND	ON	QN	QN
Phenanthrene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Anthracene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	ON	ON	QN
Di-n-butylphthalate	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	9.77E-03	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Fluoranthene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Pyrene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Butylbenzylphthalate	1.79E-02	6.28E-02	1.75E-02	1.48E-08	4.13E-05	6.693E-06	6.897E-10	3.347E-06
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ON	QN	QN	QN
Chrysene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	QN	QN	QN	QN
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	4.65E-02	6.81E-02	7.68E-02	QN	QN	ON	QN	QN
Di-n-octylphthalate	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	ND	QN	QN	QN
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	ND	Q	ND	QN	QN
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	Q	ND	QN	QN
Ulbenz(a,h)anthracene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN ND	Q	ND	QN	QN
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.79E-02	1.79E-02	1.75E-02	QN	ON	ON	QN	QN
TO-13 PAHs								
Naphthalene	1.97E-03	2.33E-03	4.89E-04	3.92E-10	1.10E-06	1.777E-07	1.832E-11	8.887E-08
Acenaphthylene	1.97E-04	2.33E-04	5.41E-05	3.81E-11	1.07E-07	1.729E-08	1.782E-12	8.645E-09
Acenaphthene	4.47E-05	4.12E-05	3.14E-05	3.40E-12	9.51E-09	1.540E-09	1.587E-13	7.701E-10
Fluorene	8.40E-05	7.89E-05	4.36E-05	9.68E-12	2.71E-08	4.391E-09	4.525E-13	2.196E-09
Phenanthrene	2.15E-04	1.97E-04	1.38E-04	1.88E-11	5.27E-08	8.546E-09	8.806E-13	4.273E-09
Anthracene	1.97E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	4.34E-12	1.22E-08	1.970E-09	2.030E-13	9.851E-10
Fluoranthene	6.26E-05	4.48E-05	2.62E-05	6.86E-12	1.92E-08	3.110E-09	3.205E-13	1.555E-09
Pyrene	5.54E-05	4.30E-05	2.79E-05	5.50E-12	1.54E-08	2.495E-09	2.571E-13	1.248E-09
Benzo(a)anthracene	2.50E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	5.53E-12	1.55E-08	2.507E-09	2.584E-13	1.254E-09

	Trial #1B	Trial #2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(Ib./Ib. NEW)	*	CONC	ER,
Chrysene	1.97E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	4.34E-12	1.22E-08	1.970E-09	2.030E-13	9.851E-10
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	QN	QN	ND	QN	ND
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	QN	ND	ND	ND	QN
Benzo(e)pyrene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	QN	QN	ND	ON	QN
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	QN	ON	ON	QN	QN
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	QN	QN	ON	QN	Q
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	QN	QN	ON	QN	QN
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.79E-05	1.79E-05	1.75E-05	ND	QN	ND	QN	Q
Dioxins and Furans								
2378-TCDD	1.22E-09	1.18E-09	1.17E-09	QN	QN	ND	Q	QN
12378-PECDD	1.37E-09	1.40E-09	1.40E-09	ON	QN	ON	Q	QN
123478-HXCDD	6.19E-10	6.96E-10	5.40E-10	ON	ON	ND	Q	QN
123678-HXCDD	6.35E-10	7.66E-10	5.92E-10	ON	ND	ND	Q	Q
123789-HXCDD	1.06E-09	1.24E-09	9.56E-10	ND	ND	ND	ΩN	QN
1234678-HPCDD	2.37E-09	2.67E-09	2.02E-09	1.67E-16	4.68E-13	7.580E-14	7.811E-18	3.790E-14
ocaa	1.54E-08	1.73E-08	1.37E-08	9.60E-16	2.69E-12	4.356E-13	4.489E-17	2.178E-13
2378-TCDF	1.13E-09	9.92E-10	1.07E-09	QN	Q.	QN	QN	Q
12378-PECDF	1.13E-09	1.12E-09	1.15E-09	ΩN	QN	ND	QN	Q
23478-PECDF	2.18E-09	1.96E-09	2.12E-09	ON	ΩN	ND	QN	ΩN
123478-HXCDF	9.20E-10	9.17E-10	8.76E-10	ND	Ω	ON	ΩN	QN
123678-HXCDF	9.31E-10	9.90E-10	8.90E-10	Q	Q	QN	ΩN	QN
123789-HXCDF	5.73E-10	6.55E-10	5.48E-10	Q	Q	ON	ΩN	ND
234678-HXCDF	3.27E-10	3.73E-10	2.87E-10	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN
1234678-HPCDF	1.08E-09	8.80E-10	1.24E-09	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN
1234789-HPCDF	7.57E-10	9.61E-10	7.17E-10	S	QV	QN	QN	S
OCDF	1.43E-09	2.09E-09	1.41E-09	1.18E-16	3.30E-13	5.344E-14	5.507E-18	2.672E-14
Energetics								
Nitrobenzene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	NA	QN	ND	ND	Q	QN
2-Nitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	NA	QN	ΩN	QN	QN	Q
3-Nitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	NA	Q	Q.	QN	ΩN	QN
4-Nitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	۷N	Q	QN	ND	QN	QN
Nitroglycerine	1.36E-02	2.08E-02	A A	3.95E-09	1.11E-05	1.791E-06	1.846E-10	8.956E-07
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΑN	QV	Q	QN	QN	QN

	Trial #18	Trial #2B	Daily	Average	Average	Total Mass	Average Modeled	Suibstance
	Measured	Measured	Measured	Adjusted	Adjusted	of Pollutant	Concentration	Emission Rate
Su bstance	Actual	Actual	Background	Emission	Emission	Emitted	for One Item	For One Item
	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration	Factor	Factor	(grams/item)	(grams/m³)	(g/item)/sec
	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(mg/m³)	(lb./item) EF	(lb./lb. NEW)	Σ	CONC	ER.
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΑN	ON	QN	QN	QN	QN
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	AN	QN	QN	QN	QN	CN
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΑN	QN	QN	ND	QN	CN
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΝΑ	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
RDX	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΑN	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
4-Amino-2,6-Dinitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΑN	QN	QN	ND	QN	QN
2-Amino-4,6-Dinitrotoluene	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	ΑΝ	Q	QN	ND	QN	CN
Tetryl	3.49E-03	3.47E-03	NA	ND	Q	ND	QN	Q
HMX	6.98E-03	6.94E-03	NA	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Pentaerythritoltetranitrate	6.98E-03	6.94E-03	ΝΑ	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Dibutyl phthalate	8.72E-02	8.67E-02	AN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
Dioctyl phthalate	8.72E-02	8.67E-02	ΑN	QN	QN	ON	QN	QN
Diphenylamine	8.72E-02	8.67E-02	ΑN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QV
Footnotes:								

<sup>1</sup>ATC = Aberdeen Test Center (for additional information on the data, refer to the Firing Point Emission Study)
NA = Not Applicable
ND = Not Detected

8/3/2001

### APPENDIX C

HEALTH-BASED SCREENING LEVELS AND ACUTE TOXICITY VALUES

Appendix C: Health-Based Screening Levels and Acute Toxicity Values

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	Toxicity NAAQS HBSL Toxicity Endpoint Endpoint	HBSL 1	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV ATV Source	ATV Source
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 630-20-6	630-20-6	2.60E-01	o	2.41E-01	ပ		2.41E-01	v		5.15E+04		5.15E+04	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	1.04E+03	пс	2.30E+03	2		1.04E+03	5	1.94E+06	1.91E+06 1.25E+06	1.25E+06	1.25E+06	∢
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	3.31E-02	o	3.13E-02	ပ		3.13E-02	υ		2.06E+04		2.06E+04	⊢
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	1.20E-01	O	1.12E-01	ပ		1.12E-01	υ		1.64E+05		1.64E+05	⊢
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	5.21E+02	nc	5.11E+02	2		5.11E+02	2		1.21E+06		1.21E+06	<b>-</b>
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4		nc	3.58E-02	ပ		3.58E-02	υ		7.92E+04		7.92E+04	<b> -</b> -
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	96-18-4	9.61E-04	υ	3.13E-03	O		9.61E-04	ပ		6.03E+04		6.03E+04	<b>-</b>
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	2.08E+02	пс	2.08E+02	nc		2.08E+02	2		3.71E+04		3.71E+04	<b>!</b>
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	6.21E+00	пс	6.21E+00	uc		6.21E+00	2		1.80E+05		1.80E+05	<b>ب</b>
1,2-Dibromoethane	106-93-4	8.73E-03	O	8.24E-03	ပ		8.24E-03	v		1.54E+05		1.54E+05	<b> </b>
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	2.09E+02	nc	3.29E+02	nc		2.09E+02	2		3.01E+05		3.01E+05	<b>-</b>
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	7.39E-02	O	6.88E-02	ပ		6.88E-02	v		8.08E+03		8.08E+03	⊢
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	9.89E-02	O	9.21E-02	O		9.21E-02	O		5.08E+05		5.08E+05	H
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	6.21E+00	nc	6.21E+00	nc		6.21E+00	2		3.68E+05		3.68E+05	<b> </b>
1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene	99-35-4	1.10E+02	пС	1.10E+02	20		1.10E+02	2		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	3.74E-03	O	3.48E-03	O		3.48E-03	O	2.20E+04	2.21E+04		2.20E+04	ш
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	3.29E+00	20	1.10E+02	2		3.29E+00	၁		3.61E+04		3.61E+04	<b>-</b>

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity NAAQS Endpoint	NAAQS	HBSL Toxicity Endpoin	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV A	ATV Source
1,3-Dinitrobenzene	99-62-0	3.65E-01	n S	3.65E-01	nc		3.65E-01	nc		3.00E+03		3.00E+03	⊢
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	3.06E-01	O	2.85E-01	υ		2.85E-01	v		6.61E+05		6.61E+05	⊢
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	6.11E-01	ပ	5.69E-01	O		5.69E-01	ပ		9.00E+04		9.00E+04	<b>-</b>
1234678-HPCDD	35822-46-9												
1234678-HPCDF	67562-39-4												
1234789-HPCDF	55673-89-7												
123478-HXCDD	39227-28-6												
123478-HXCDF	70648-26-9									8.00E+00		8.00E+00	<b>-</b>
123678-HXCDD	57653-85-7									1.50E+01		1.50E+01	⊢
123678-HXCDF	57117-44-9									2.00E+00		2.00E+00	⊬
123789-HXCDD	19408-74-3	1.48E-06	O	1.38E-06	v		1.38E-06	υ					
123789-HXCDF	72918-21-9												
12378-PECDD	40321-76-4									2.00E+00		2.00E+00	⊢
12378-PECDF	57117-41-6												
1-Butene/Isobutylene	106-98-9									6.87E+06		6.87E+06	<b>-</b>
2,4,5-trichlorophenol	95-95-4	3.65E+02	ПС	3.65E+02	nc		3.65E+02	nc		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>
2,4,6-trichlorophenol	88-06-2	6.20E-01	υ	6.26E-01	ပ		6.20E-01	ပ		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	⊢
2,4,6-Trinitrotoluene	118-96-7	2.24E-01	υ	2.09E-01	ပ		2.09E-01	O		2.50E+04		2.50E+04	H
2,4-dichlorophenol	120-83-2	1.10E+01	20	1.10E+01	2		1.10E+01	20		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	Toxicity NAAQS HBSL Toxicity Endpoint Endpoin	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV	ATV Source
2,4-dimethylphenol	105-67-9	7.30E+01	nc	7.30E+01	บน		7.30E+01	2					
2,4-dinitrophenol	51-28-5	7.30E+00	2	7.30E+00	21		7.30E+00	2		7.50E+03		7.50E+03	F
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	7.30E+00	2	7.30E+00	20		7.30E+00	2		6.00E+02		6.00E+02	۳
2,5-Dimethylbenzaldehyde 5779-94-2	5779-94-2												
2,6-dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	3.65E+00	ဥ	3.65E+00	20		3.65E+00	ဥ		6.00E+02		6.00E+02	<b>-</b>
234678-HXCDF	60851-34-5									2.00E+00		2.00E+00	⊢
23478-PECDF	57117-31-4												
2378-TCDD	1746-01-6	4.48E-08	U	4.17E-08	ပ		4.17E-08	υ		4.00E+00		4.00E+00	⊢
2378-TCDF	51207-31-9									2.00E+00		2.00E+00	H
2-Amino-4,6-Dinitrotoluene 35572-78-2	35572-78-2									1.50E+04		1.50E+04	⊢
2-Butanone	78-93-3	1.04E+03	nc	1.04E+03	SI.		1.04E+03	5		8.85E+05		8.85E+05	⊢
2-Butyne	503-17-3												
2-chloronaphthalene	91-58-7	2.92E+02	2	2.92E+02	n S		2.92E+02	20		6.00E+02		6.00E+02	⊢
2-chlorophenol	95-57-8	1.83E+01	nc	1.83E+01	2		1.83E+01	2		5.25E+03		5.25E+03	<b> -</b>
2-Hexanone	591-78-6			5.11E+00	2		5.11E+00	2		4.09E+04		4.09E+04	<b>-</b>
2-methylnaphthalene	91-57-6			7.30E+01	SC.		7.30E+01	20		2.00E+04	-	2.00E+04	<b>-</b>
2-methylphenol	95-48-7	1.83E+02	nc	1.83E+02	nc		1.83E+02	2					
2-nitroaniline	88-74-4	2.09E-01	nc	2.08E-01	nc		2.08E-01	2					
2-nitrophenol	88-75-5												

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	Toxicity NAAQS HBSL Toxicity Endpoint Endpoin	HBSL T	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV ,	ATV Source
2-Nitrotoluene	88-72-2	3.65E+01	пс	3.65E+01	5		3.65E+01	nc					
3-Chloropropene	107-05-1	1.04E+00	2						9.39E+03	9.39E+03		9.39E+03	ш
3-nitroaniline	99-09-2												
3-Nitrotoluene	99-08-1	3.65E+01	บ	7.30E+01	n		3.65E+01	nc					
4,6-dinitro-2-methylphenol 534-52-1	534-52-1			3.65E-01	пс		3.65E-01	пс		5.00E+02		5.00E+02	<b>-</b>
4-Amino-2,6-Dinitrotoluene 19406-51-0	a 19406-51-0												
4-bromophenyl-phenylethe 101-55-3	9 101-55-3												
4-chloro-3-methylphenol	59-50-7									2.00E+04		2.00E+04	-
4-chloroaniline	106-47-8	1.46E+01	пс	1.46E+01	nc S		1.46E+01	nc		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	⊢
4-chlorophenyl-phenylether 7005-72-3	ar 7005-72-3												
4-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8									1.25E+05		1.25E+05	⊢
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	108-10-1	8.34E+01	nc	7.30E+01	2		7.30E+01	20		3.07E+05		3.07E+05	<b>-</b>
4-methylphenol	106-44-5	1.83E+01	ည	1.83E+01	2		1.83E+01	nc S					
4-nitroaniline	100-01-6									9.00E+03		9.00E+03	⊢
4-nitrophenol	100-02-7	2.92E+01	nc S	2.90E+01	2		2.90E+01	ဥ		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	⊢
4-Nitrotoluene	0-66-66	3.65E+01	nc	3.65E+01	uc		3.65E+01	nc 2		3.37E+04		3.37E+04	⊢
acenaphthene	83-32-9	2.19E+02	2	2.19E+02	ဥ		2.19E+02	2		1.25E+03		1.25E+03	<b>-</b> -
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8									2.00E+02		2.00E+02	F
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	8.73E-01	υ 	8.13E-01	υ		8.13E-01	υ	1.80E+04	1.80E+04		1.80E+04	ш

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	Toxicity NAAQS HBSL Toxicity Endpoint Endpoin	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV	ATV Source
Acetone	67-64-1	3.65E+02	пс	3.65E+02	uc		3.65E+02	DC .		2.37E+06		2.37E+06	-
Acetonitrile	75-05-8	6.20E+01	2	6.21E+01	SP.		6.20E+01	20		1.01E+05		1.01E+05	۰
Acetylene	74-86-2												
Acrolein	107-02-8	2.09E-02	5	2.08E-02	пс		2.08E-02	2	2.30E+02	2.29E+02		2.30E+02	ш
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1	2.83E-02	υ	2.61E-02	υ		2.61E-02	ပ	2.17E+04	2.17E+04		2.17E+04	ш
Alpha Methyl Styrene	98-83-9	2.56E+02	2	2.56E+02	2		2.56E+02	nc					
Aluminum	7429-90-5	5.11E+00	5	3.65E+00	21		3.65E+00	2		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	⊢
Ammonia (NH3)	7664-41-7	1.04E+02	uc	1.04E+02	nc		1.04E+02	2	1.75E+04	1.75E+04		1.75E+04	ш
anthracene	120-12-7	1.10E+03	пс	1.10E+03	21		1.10E+03	2		6.00E+03		6.00E+03	<b>-</b>
Antimony	7440-36-0			1.46E+00	SI.		1.46E+00	2		1.50E+03		1.50E+03	⊢
Arsenic	7440-38-2	4.47E-04	ပ	4.15E-04	υ		4.15E-04	v		3.00E+01		3.00E+01	⊢
Barium	7440-39-3	5.21E-01	ЭU	5.11E-01	21		5.11E-01	2		1.50E+03		1.50E+03	<b>ب</b>
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	บ		3.65E+02	ဥ		1.50E+04		1.50E+04	⊢
Benzene	71-43-2	2.49E-01	ပ	2.16E-01	U		2.16E-01	υ	1.56E+05	1.60E+05		1.56E+05	ш
benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3	2.17E-02	O	8.58E-03	υ		8.58E-03	v		6.00E+02		6.00E+02	<b> </b>
benzo(a)pyrene	50-32-8	2.17E-03	ပ	2.02E-03	ပ		2.02E-03	v		7.50E+03		7.50E+03	<b>-</b>
benzo(b)fluoranthene	205-99-2	2.17E-02	ပ	8.58E-03	ပ		8.58E-03	v					
Benzo(e)pyrene	192-97-2												
benzo(g,h,i)perylene	191-24-2									3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	NAAQS HBSL Toxicity Endpoin	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV	ATV Source
benzo(k)fluoranthene	207-08-9	2.17E-01	υ	8.58E-02	ပ		8.58E-02	υ					
benzył alcohol	100-51-6	1.10E+03	21	1.10E+03	22		1.10E+03	2		5.53E+04		5.53E+04	<b>-</b>
Benzyl Chloride	100-44-7	3.96E-02	υ	3.68E-02	O		3.68E-02	ပ	5.20E+03	5.17E+03		5.20E+03	ш
Beryllium	7440-41-7	8.00E-04	U	7.45E-04	O		7.45E-04	U		5.00E+00		5.00E+00	<b>-</b>
bis(2-chloroethoxy)methan 111-91-1	111-91-1												
bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	111-44-4	5.82E-03	v	5.69E-03	ပ		5.69E-03	v		5.85E+04		5.85E+04	<b>-</b>
bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether 108-60-1	108-60-1	1.92E-01	ပ	1.79E-01	O		1.79E-01	ပ		6.99E+04		6.99E+04	⊢
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate 117-81-7	117-81-7	4.80E-01	ပ	4.47E-01	O		4.47E-01	ပ		1.00E+04		1.00E+04	F
Bromobenzene	108-86-1	1.04E+01	пс							4.82E+04		4.82E+04	<b>-</b>
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	1.08E-01	ပ	1.01E-01	ပ		1.01E-01	ပ		4.00E+03		4.00E+03	<b>⊢</b>
Bromoform	75-25-2	1.75E+00	υ	1.61E+00	U		1.61E+00	v		6.20E+03		6.20E+03	<b>-</b>
Bromomethane	74-83-9	5.21E+00	2	5.11E+00	2		5.11E+00	nc		5.82E+04		5.82E+04	۲
butylbenzylphthalate	85-68-7	7.30E+02	20	7.30E+02	SI.		7.30E+02	21		5.00E+05		5.00E+05	⊢
Butyraldehyde	123-72-8									7.38E+04		7.38E+04	<b>-</b>
Cadmium	7440-43-9	1.07E-03	o	9.94E-04	ပ		9.94E-04	O		3.00E+01		3.00E+01	۲
Calcium	7440-70-2									3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>
Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	124-38-9									5.40E+07		5.40E+07	<b>-</b>
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	7.30E+02	20	7.30E+02	nc		7.30E+02	nc		3.11E+04		3.11E+04	<b>-</b>
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	0-80-089					1.00E+04	1.00E+04 1.00E+04	2	2.30E+05	2.28E+05		2.30E+05	ш

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	HBSL Toxicity Endpoint	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV A So	ATV Source
Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	1.28E-01	U	1.18E-01	υ		1.18E-01	ပ	1.28E+05	1.26E+05		1.28E+05	Ш
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	6.21E+01	2	6.21E+01	nc		6.21E+01	ဥ		1.38E+05		1.38E+05	⊢
Chlorodifluoromethane	75-45-6	5.11E+04	ПС	5.11E+04	nc		5.11E+04	nc		4.41E+06		4.41E+06	<b>ب</b>
Chloroethane	75-00-3	2.32E+00	υ	2.16E+00	υ		2.16E+00	υ		2.64E+06		2.64E+06	<b>-</b>
Chloroform	67-66-3	8.35E-02	ပ	7.73E-02	v		7.73E-02	υ		9.76E+03		9.76E+03	<b>-</b>
Chloromethane	74-87-3	1.07E+00	U	1.79E+00	υ		1.07E+00	ပ		2.06E+05		2.06E+05	⊢
Chromium	7440-47-3		O	1.53E-04	υ		1.53E-04	υ		1.50E+03		1.50E+03	⊢
chrysene	218-01-9	2.17E+00	O	8.58E-01	ပ		8.58E-01	ပ		2.00E+02		2.00E+02	⊢
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	3.65E+01	5	3.65E+01	S		3.65E+01	2		7.92E+05	5.54E+05	5.54E+05	∢
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-01-5												
cis-butene	25167-67-3									1.72E+04		1.72E+04	<b>-</b>
Cobalt	7440-48-4			1.83E-02	ည		1.83E-02	nc		6.00E+01		6.00E+01	⊢
Copper	7440-50-8			1.46E+02	20		1.46E+02	2		3.00E+03		3.00E+03	⊢
Crotonaldehyde	4170-30-3	3.54E-03	v						5.72E+03	5.72E+03		5.72E+03	Ш
Cumene	98-82-8	4.02E+02	nc	4.02E+02	nc		4.02E+02	ПС		2.46E+05		2.46E+05	<b>-</b>
dibenz(a,h)anthracene	53-70-3	2.17E-03	ပ	8.58E-04	υ		8.58E-04	υ		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b> -
dibenzofuran	132-64-9	1.46E+01	uc	1.46E+01	nc		1.46E+01	2					
Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	8.00E-02	ပ	7.45E-02	ပ		7.45E-02	v		6.00E+03		6.00E+03	<b>-</b>
Dibromomethane	74-95-3	3.65E+01	ou Ou	3.65E+01	ဥ		3.65E+01	ПС		2.50E+05		2.50E+05	<b>-</b>

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	HBSL Toxicity Endpoin	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV	ATV Source
Dibutyl Phthalate	84-74-2	3.65E+02	nc	3.65E+02	ou S		3.65E+02	nc		1.50E+04		1.50E+04	F
Dichlorodifluoromethane	75-71-8	2.09E+02	2	1.83E+02	2		1.83E+02	20		1.48E+07		1.48E+07	⊢
diethylphthalate	84-66-2	2.92E+03	2	2.92E+03	51		2.92E+03	пс		1.50E+04		1.50E+04	⊢
dimethylphthalate	131-11-3	3.65E+04	၁ပ	3.65E+04	21		3.65E+04	nc		1.50E+04		1.50E+04	⊢
di-n-octylphthalate	117-84-0	7.30E+01	ဥ	7.30E+01	21		7.30E+01	၁		1.50E+05		1.50E+05	<b>-</b>
Diphenylamine	122-39-4	9.13E+01	21	9.13E+01	2		9.13E+01	nc		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>
Ethane	74-84-0												
Ethyl Acetate	141-78-6	3.29E+03	ဥ	3.29E+03	nc		3.29E+03	2		1.44E+06		1.44E+06	<b>-</b>
Ethyl Acrylate	140-88-5	1.40E-01	ပ							6.14E+04		6.14E+04	F
Ethyl Methacrylate	97-63-2	3.29E+02	nc	3.29E+02	20		3.29E+02	22					
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	1.06E+03	nc	1.06E+03	nc		1.06E+03	nc		5.43E+05		5.43E+05	⊢
Ethylene	74-85-1									4.60E+05		4.60E+05	⊢
fluoranthene	206-44-0	1.46E+02	5	1.46E+02	nc		1.46E+02	n S		3.00E+01		3.00E+01	⊢
Fluorene	86-73-7	1.46E+02	SI.	1.46E+02	n		1.46E+02	20		7.50E+04		7.50E+04	-
Formaldehyde	50-00-0	1.48E-01	υ _	1.39E-01	U		1.39E-01	v	1.23E+03	1.23E+03		1.23E+03	ш
Freon 113	76-13-1	3.13E+04	ou t	3.14E+04	21		3.13E+04	ဥ		9.58E+06		9.58E+06	<b>-</b> -
Freon 114	76-14-2									2.10E+07		2.10E+07	F
Heptane	142-82-5									1.80E+06		1.80E+06	⊢
hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	4.18E-03	o 8	3.91E-03	υ		3.91E-03	υ		7.50E+01		7.50E+01	۰

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	HBSL 1	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV A	ATV Source
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	8.62E-02	O	8.03E-02	U		8.03E-02	υ	3.21E+04	3.20E+04		3.21E+04	ш
hexachlorocyclopentadiene 77-47-4	e 77-47-4	7.30E-02	ПС	7.30E-02	nc		7.30E-02	22		2.23E+02		2.23E+02	⊢
Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	4.80E-01	O	4.47E-01	o		4.47E-01	v		2.90E+04		2.90E+04	⊢
Hexaldehyde	66-25-1												
Hexane	110-54-3	2.09E+02	2	2.08E+02	п		2.08E+02	5		5.28E+05		5.28E+05	<b>-</b>
НМХ	2691-41-0	1.83E+02	nc	1.83E+02	nc		1.83E+02	ဥ					
Hydrogen bromide	10035-10-6									9.93E+03		9.93E+03	⊢
Hydrogen chloride	7647-01-0	2.08E+01	ПС	2.08E+01	nc		2.08E+01	nc	4.50E+03	4.47E+03 2.70E+03	2.70E+03	2.70E+03	∢
Hydrogen Cyanide	74-90-8	3.13E+00	ŋc	3.14E+00	n S		3.13E+00	2		5.17E+03		5.17E+03	<b>-</b>
Hydrogen fluoride	7664-39-3								1.60E+03	1.64E+03 1.60E+03	1.60E+03	1.60E+03	∢
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	193-39-5	2.17E-02	v	8.58E-03	O		8.58E-03	υ					
Isobutane	75-28-5									9.52E+05		9.52E+05	<b>-</b>
Isooctane	540-84-1									3.50E+05		3.50E+05	<b>-</b>
isophorone	78-59-1	7.08E+00	O	6.59E+00	O		6.59E+00	O		2.83E+04		2.83E+04	<b>-</b>
Isovaleraldehyde	590-86-3												
Lead	7439-92-1					2.00E+00 2.00E+00	2.00E+00	ဥ		1.50E+02		1.50E+02	<b>-</b>
m/p-Xylene	108-38-3 10 7.30E+02	7.30E+02	ည	7.30E+03	пс		7.30E+02	nc		6.51E+05		6.51E+05	<b>-</b>
Magnesium	7439-95-4									3.00E+04		3.00E+04	<b>-</b>
Manganese	7439-96-5	5.11E-02	2	5.22E-02	uc		5.11E-02	2		3.00E+03		3.00E+03	⊢

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	HBSL To	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV	ATV Source
Methane	74-82-8									3.30E+06		3.30E+06	⊢
Methyl Acrylate	96-33-3	1.10E+02	пс	1.10E+02	nc		1.10E+02	В					
Methyl Iodide	74-88-4								1.45E+05	1.45E+05		1.45E+05	Ш
Methyl Methacrylate	80-62-6	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	၁		7.30E+02	ည		4.09E+05		4.09E+05	<b>-</b>
Methyl t-Butyl Ether	1634-04-4	3.13E+03	UC	3.13E+03	nc		3.13E+03	o U		4.32E+05		4.32E+05	⊢
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	4.09E+00	ပ	3.79E+00	υ		3.79E+00	υ	6.96E+05	6.94E+05		6.96E+05	Ш
naphthalene	91-20-3	3.13E+00	nc	3.29E+00	nc		3.13E+00	20		7.86E+04		7.86E+04	<b>-</b>
Nickel	7440-02-0			7.30E+01	2		7.30E+01	2		3.00E+03		3.00E+03	<b>-</b>
Nitric Acid	7697-37-2									2.58E+03 1.30E+03	1.30E+03	1.30E+03	∢
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	2.09E+00	nc	2.19E+00	20		2.09E+00	2		1.51E+04		1.51E+04	<b>-</b>
Nitroglycerine	55-63-0	4.80E-01	U	4.47E-01	U		4.47E-01	ပ					
n-nitrosodimethylamine	62-75-9	1.37E-04	ပ	1.23E-04	v		1.23E-04	v		2.50E+03		2.50E+03	-
n-nitroso-di-n-propylamine 621-64-7	621-64-7	9.61E-04	O	8.94E-04	U		8.94E-04	U		2.00E+02		2.00E+02	<b>-</b>
n-nitrosodiphenylamine(1) 86-30-6	9-02-98	1.37E+00	O	1.28E+00	ပ		1.28E+00	ပ					
o,m,p-Tolualdehyde	1334-78-7												
осрр	3268-87-9									1.50E+02		1.50E+02	H
OCDF	39001-02-0									3.00E+02		3.00E+02	⊢
Octane	111-65-9												
Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) 10102-43-9	10102-43-9			3.65E+02	၁ပ	1.00E+02	1.00E+02 1.00E+02	2		3.08E+04		3.08E+04	<b>-</b>

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	HBSL T	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV S	ATV Source
o-Xylene	95-47-6	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+03	nc		7.30E+02	ည		6.51E+05		6.51E+05	<b> </b>
Particulate Cyanide	57-12-5			7.30E+01	2		7.30E+01	2		5.00E+03		5.00E+03	<b>i</b>
Particulate Matter <10 micr PM10	r PM10		2			5.00E+01 5.00E+01	5.00E+01	2					
Particulate Matter <2.5 mic PM2.5	: PM2.5		2			1.50E+01 1.50E+01	1.50E+01	ည					
pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	5.60E-02	υ	5.22E-02	ပ		5.22E-02	ပ		1.50E+03		1.50E+03	<b> </b> -
Pentaerythritoltetranitrate 78-11-5	78-11-5									5.00E+01		5.00E+01	<b>i</b> —
Pentane	109-66-0									1.80E+06		1.80E+06	⊢
phenanthrene	85-01-8									2.00E+03		2.00E+03	<b>-</b>
phenol	108-95-2	2.19E+03	nc	2.19E+03	nc		2.19E+03	nc D		3.85E+04		3.85E+04	<b>ب</b>
Phosphoric acid	7664-38-2	1.04E+01	nc	1.06E+01	2		1.04E+01	пс		3.00E+03		3.00E+03	<b> -</b>
Propane	74-98-6									3.78E+06		3.78E+06	<b>-</b>
Proprionaldehyde	123-38-6									7.50E+04		7.50E+04	⊢
Propylene	115-07-1												
Propyne	74-99-7									2.79E+06		2.79E+06	<b>-</b>
pyrene	129-00-0	1.10E+02	пС	1.10E+02	22		1.10E+02	2		1.50E+04		1.50E+04	<b>-</b>
RDX	121-82-4	6.11E-02	υ	5.69E-02	υ		5.69E-02	υ					
Selenium	7782-49-2			1.83E+01	nc		1.83E+01	nc		6.00E+02		6.00E+02	⊢
Silver	7440-22-4			1.83E+01	nc		1.83E+01	nc		3.00E+02		3.00E+02	F
Styrene	100-42-5	1.06E+03	DI.	1.04E+03	ည		1.04E+03	5	2.13E+05	2.13E+05		2.13E+05	ш

Substance	CAS#	PRG	Toxicity Endpoint	RBC	Toxicity Endpoint	NAAQS	Toxicity NAAQS HBSL Toxicity Endpoint	Toxicity Endpoint	ERPG	TEEL	AEGL	ATV ATV Sourc	ATV Source
Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)	7446-09-5			-		8.00E+01 8.00E+01	8.00E+01	пс	7.89E+02	7.86E+02		7.89E+02	ш
Sulfuric Acid	7664-93-9								2.00E+03	2.00E+03		2.00E+03	ш
tert-Butyl Alcohol	75-65-0									4.55E+05		4.55E+05	⊢
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3.31E+00	ပ	3.13E+00	O		3.13E+00	v		6.78E+05		6.78E+05	⊢
Tetryl	479-45-8	3.65E+01	nc	3.65E+01	nc		3.65E+01	20					
Thallium	7440-28-0			2.56E-01	uc		2.56E-01	nc D		3.00E+02		3.00E+02	<b>-</b>
Toluene	108-88-3	4.02E+02	JU.	4.16E+02	20		4.02E+02	2	1.88E+05	1.89E+05		1.88E+05	ш
Total Suspended Particulat 12789-66-1	at 12789-66-1					5.00E+01	5.00E+01 5.00E+01	ဥ					
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	7.30E+01	ПС	7.30E+01	nc		7.30E+01	ПС		4.95E+04 1.11E+06	1.11E+06	1.11E+06	∢
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene 10061-02-6	10061-02-6												
Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4	7.30E+02	nc	7.30E+02	22		7.30E+02	пс		2.81E+06		2.81E+06	⊢
Valeraldehyde	110-62-3												
Vanadium	7440-62-2			2.56E+01	пс		2.56E+01	ou C		1.50E+02		1.50E+02	<b>-</b>
Vinyl Acetate	108-05-4	2.09E+02	nc	2.08E+02	nc		2.08E+02	2	1.92E+04	1.76E+04		1.92E+04	ш
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2.17E-01	O	7.20E-02	ပ		7.20E-02	ပ		1.28E+04		1.28E+04	۰
Zinc	7440-66-6			1.10E+03	ဥ		1.10E+03	ဥ		3.00E+04		3.00E+04	۰

ATV	Source
ATV	
AEGL	
TEEL	
ERPG	
Toxicity	Endpoint
HBSL	
NAAQS	
Toxicity	Endpoint
RBC	
Toxicity	Endpoint
PRG	
CAS#	
Substance	

CAS# = Chemical Abstract Service Number
PRG = Preliminary Remediation Goal (µg/m²)
RBC = Risk-Based Concentration (µg/m²)
NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard (µg/m²)
NAAQS = health-based screening level (µg/m³)
HBSL = health-based screening level (µg/m³)
ERPG (E) = Emergency Response Planning Guideline (µg/m²)
TEEL (T) = Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit (µg/m³)
AEGL (A) = Acute Exposure Guideline Level (µg/m³)
c = carcinogen
nc = noncarcinogen

# APPENDIX D RISK ASSESSMENT DATA

Table D-1: Comparison of Modeled Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values

Long Rifle . 22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity	ll Cartridge, Star	idard Velocity	Mode	Modeled Distance (meters) 100		popic: '	A086	
Substance*	CAS#	CONC	Cchronic	Cchr/HBSL	Cchr/HBSL>1?	Cacute	Cact/ATV	Cact/ATV>1?
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	6.44E-11	2.68E-06	2.57E-09		7.16E-04	5.72E-10	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	3.27E-11	1.36E-06	2.18E-07		1.45E-03	8.06E-09	
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	1.50E-11	2.68E-07	3.89E-06		6.68E-04	8.27E-08	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	7.50E-12	3.11E-07	5.01E-08		3.33E-04	9.05E-10	
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	4.79E-11	8.52E-07	2.45E-04		5.32E-04	2.42E-08	
1234678-HPCDD	35822-46-9	7.81E-18	3.24E-13		f <sup>77</sup> 1.	8.68E-11		1.1
1-Butene/Isobutylene	106-98-9	1.15E-09	4.77E-05			5.11E-02	7.44E-09	:
2-Butanone	78-93-3	2.21E-11	9.18E-07	8.80E-10		9.82E-04	1.11E-09	: !
4-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8	2.26E-12	9.38E-08		<b>[</b> ]	1.00E-04	8.03E-10	Ū
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	1.59E-13	6.59E-09	3.01E-11	<u></u>	7.05E-06	5.64E-09	[]
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	1.78E-12	7.40E-08		E)	7.92E-05	3.96E-07	: 17
Acetaldehyde	75-07-0	8.89E-10	1.58E-05	1.95E-05		9.88E-03	5.49E-07	****
Acetone	67-64-1	3.29E-10	1.37E-05	3.75E-08	; · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.46E-02	6.18E-09	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Acetylene	74-86-2	1.50E-09	6.22E-05			1.66E-02		
Acrolein	107-02-8	4.25E-10	1.76E-05	8.48E-04		4.72E-03	2.05E-05	
Acrylonitrile	107-13-1	1.51E-10	2.68E-06	1.03E-04		1.67E-03	7.71E-08	
Aluminum	7429-90-5	8.64E-11	3.59E-06	9.84E-07		3.84E-03	1.28E-07	
Anthracene	120-12-7	2.03E-13	8.43E-09	7.70E-12		9.02E-06	1.50E-09	

Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity	Cartridge, Stano	lard Velocity	Mode	Modeled Distance (meters) 100		DODIC: A086	4086	
Substance*	CAS#	CONC	Cchronic	Cchr/HBSL	Cchr/HBSL>1?	Cacute	Cact/ATV	Cact/ATV>1?
Antimony	7440-36-0	3.51E-09	1.46E-04	9.98E-05		1.56E-01	1.04E-04	
Barium	7440-39-3	2.58E-09	1.07E-04	2.10E-04		1.15E-01	7.66E-05	
Benzene	71-43-2	7.86E-10	1.40E-05	6.48E-05		8.74E-03	5.60E-08	
Benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3	2.58E-13	4.60E-09	5.36E-07		1.15E-05	1.91E-08	
Butylbenzylphthalate	85-68-7	6.90E-10	2.87E-05	3.92E-08		3.07E-02	6.13E-08	
Butyraldehyde	123-72-8	1.63E-09	6.76E-05		£3	7.23E-02	9.80E-07	Ð
Carbon Dioxide (CO2)	124-38-9	3.15E-06	1.31E-01		J	1.40E+02	2.59E-06	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	630-08-0	3.32E-06	1.38E-01	1.38E-05	L	3.69E+01	1.60E-04	
Chrysene	218-01-9	2.03E-13	3.61E-09	4.21E-09		9.02E-06	4.51E-08	
Copper	7440-50-8	4.05E-10	1.68E-05	1.15E-07		1.80E-02	6.00E-06	[ ]
Cumene	98-82-8	1.26E-12	5.25E-08	1.31E-10		5.62E-05	2.29E-10	[]
Dichlorodifluoromethane	75-71-8	3.41E-12	1.42E-07	7.74E-10		1.51E-04	1.02E-11	
Ethane	74-84-0	3.28E-10	1.36E-05			3.64E-03		C)
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	4.99E-12	2.07E-07	1.96E-10		2.22E-04	4.09E-10	
Ethylene	74-85-1	2.25E-09	9.37E-05			1.00E-01	2.18E-07	
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	3.20E-13	1.33E-08	9.12E-11		1.42E-05	4.75E-07	
Fluorene	86-73-7	4.53E-13	1.88E-08	1.29E-10		2.01E-05	2.68E-10	
Formaldehyde	20-00-0	4.53E-09	8.06E-05	5.80E-04		5.03E-02	4.09E-05	
Hexane	110-54-3	5.61E-10	2.33E-05	1.12E-07		2.49E-02	4.73E-08	

Long Rifle . 22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity	Cartridge, Stand	ard Velocity	Mode	Modeled Distance (meters) 100		DODIC: A086	1086	
Substance*	CAS#	CONC	Cchronic	Cchr/HBSL	Cchr/HBSL>1?	Cacute	Cact/ATV	Cact/ATV>1?
Hydrogen Cyanide	74-90-8	1.87E-09	7.78E-05	2.48E-05		8.32E-02	1.61E-05	<u>.</u> 1
Lead	7439-92-1	8.34E-08	3.46E-03	1.73E-03		3.71E+00	2.47E-02	f
m/p-Xylene	108-38-3 106-4	2.53E-12	1.05E-07	1.44E-10		1.12E-04	1.73E-10	
Magnesium	7439-95-4	3.90E-11	1.62E-06			1.73E-03	5.78E-08	
Methane	74-82-8	4.70E-09	1.95E-04			2.09E-01	6.33E-08	
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	2.49E-09	4.42E-05	1.17E-05	C)	2.76E-02	3.97E-08	÷
Naphthalene	91-20-3	1.83E-11	7.61E-07	2.43E-07		8.14E-04	1.04E-08	# *
Nitroglycerine	55-63-0	1.85E-10	3.29E-06	7.35E-06	Ü	2.05E-03		13
ocpp	3268-87-9	4.49E-17	1.86E-12		<u>П</u>	2.00E-09	1.33E-11	
OCDF	39001-02-0	5.51E-18	2.29E-13		1	2.45E-10	8.16E-13	
Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx)	10102-43-9	9.18E-08	3.81E-03	3.81E-05		4.08E+00	1.33E-04	ŧ.
o-Xylene	95-47-6	4.88E-11	2.03E-06	2.78E-09		2.17E-03	3.33E-09	
Particulate Matter <10 micron	PM10	1.19E-07	4.94E-03	9.88E-05		1.32E+00		:
Particulate Matter <2.5 micron	PM2.5	8.92E-08	3.70E-03	2.47E-04		9.91E-01		- 1
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	8.81E-13	3.66E-08			3.91E-05	1.96E-08	
Propylene	115-07-1	4.58E-10	1.90E-05			5.09E-03		3
Propyne	74-99-7	4.26E-10	1.77E-05			1.89E-02	6.79E-09	Ē:
Pyrene	129-00-0	2.57E-13	1.07E-08	9.71E-11		1.14E-05	7.62E-10	
Styrene	100-42-5	1.26E-11	5.25E-07	5.03E-10		1.41E-04	6.60E-10	

Modeled Distance (meters) 100 Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity

Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity	Cartridge, Stan	dard Velocity	Mode	Modeled Distance (meters) 100		DODIC: A086	A086	
Substance*	CAS#	CONC	Cchronic	Cchr/HBSL	Cchronic Cchr/HBSL Cchr/HBSL>1? Cacute Cact/ATV Cact/ATV>1?	Cacute	Cact/ATV	Cact/ATV>1?
Toluene	108-88-3	2.22E-11	9.24E-07	2.30E-09		2.47E-04	1.32E-09	101
Total Suspended Particulate	12789-66-1	1.47E-07	6.10E-03	1.22E-04		1.63E+00		
Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4	1.94E-12	8.04E-08	1.10E-10		8.60E-05	3.07E-11	
	7440-66-6	5.52E-10	2.29E-05	2.09E-08		2.45E-02	8.18E-07	J

\* = Only substances detected in the Firing Point Emission Study are presented in this Appendix. In situations where the substance was detected using more than one sampling method, the higher concentration was used in the risk assessment to maintain a conservative approach. CONC = average modeled concentration for one cartridge (g/m<sup>3</sup>)Cchronic = chronic time-averaged concentration (µg/m³) HBSL = chronic health-based screening level  $(\mu g/m^3)$ Cacute = acute time-averaged concentration  $(\mu g/m^3)$ DODIC = Department of Defense Identification Code CAS# = Chemical Abstract Service Number  $ATV = acute toxicity value (\mu g/m^3)$ 

Table D-2: Comparison of Modeled Air Concentrations with Health-Based Values: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Substance*	CAS#	Cchronic Aliphatic:<=8	Cchronic Aliphatic:>8	Cchronic Aromatic:<=8	Cchronic Aromatic:>8
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6				1.36E-06
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8				3.11E-07
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	8.52E-07			
1-Butene/Isobutylene	106-98-9	4.77E-05			
4-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8				9.38E-08
Acenaphthene	83-32-9				6.59E-09
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8				7.40E-08
Anthracene	120-12-7				8.43E-09
Benzene	71-43-2			1.40E-05	
Benzo(a)anthracene	56-55-3				4.60E-09
Chrysene	218-01-9				3.61E-09
Cumene	98-82-8				5.25E-08
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4			2.07E-07	
Fluoranthene	206-44-0				1.33E-08
Fluorene	86-73-7				1.88E-08
Hexane	110-54-3	2.33E-05			
m/p-Xylene	108-38-3 1			1.05E-07	

Monday, August 06, 2001

Long Rifle .22 Cal	Long Rifle . 22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity		Modeled Distance (meters) 100	eters) 100	DODIC: A086	9
Substance*	CAS#	Cchronic Aliphatic:<=8	Cchronic Aliphatic:>8	Cchronic Cchronic Aromatic:>8	Cchronic Aromatic:>8	ı
Naphthalene	91-20-3				7.61E-07	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6			2.03E-06		
Phenanthrene	85-01-8				3.66E-08	
Propylene	115-07-1	1.90E-05				
Propyne	74-99-7	1.77E-05				
Pyrene	129-00-0				1.07E-08	
Styrene	100-42-5	10			5.25E-07	
Toluene	108-88-3			9.24E-07		
Total (µg/m³)		1.09E-04		1.73E-05	3.28E-06	
Derived Health-Based Screening	g Level (µg/m³)	1.92E+04	1.04E+03	4.17E+02	2.09E+02	
Cchronic/HBSL		5.66E-09		4.14E-08	1.57E-08	
Is this ratio >1?		No	No	No	No	

\*= Only substances detected in the Firing Point Emission Study are presented in this Appendix. In situations where the substance was detected using more than one sampling method, the higher concentration was used in the risk assessment to maintain a conservative approach.

DODIC = Department of Defence Identification Code

CAS# = Chemical Abstract Service Number Cchronic = chronic time-averaged concentration (µg/m³) HBSL = chronic health-based screening level (µg/m³)


### **APPENDIX E**

FACT SHEET SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER

## U.S. Army Environmental Center Training Munitions Fact Sheet

Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity
Department of Defense Identification Code: A086

Breathing air emissions from the Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity will not impact the health of residents who live near Army training facilities.



To be fully prepared to protect our country, U.S. soldiers must train with many different weapons and munitions, including the Long Rifle .22 Caliber Ball Cartridge, Standard Velocity (.22 Caliber Ball SV). This training is important because it helps prepare our soldiers for a variety of combat situations. While the Army recognizes the value of such comprehensive training on our installations, we also work hard to ensure the safety and health of surrounding communities.

# WILL BREATHING AIR EMISSIONS FROM THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY AFFECT MY HEALTH?

To answer this question, the U.S. Army tested the air emissions that are released when the .22 Caliber Ball SV is fired. The information gathered during these tests was then analyzed to determine if there would be a potential for health effects from inhalation to residents who live near training areas. Study results, generated using conservative methods, showed that offsite residents breathing air as close as 100 meters (328 feet or about the length of a football field) from the firing location are safe from these emissions. At most locations, training areas are at least 1,000 meters (over half a mile) away from populated areas and the distance to firing locations may be even farther.

#### **How Was the Study Conducted?**

To gather data for this study, the .22 Caliber Ball SV was fired from a rifle in a test chamber. The air in the chamber was then tested to identify the types and amounts of substances released. About 200 different substances were looked for during this part of the study.

This information was then used in an U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) approved air model (a computer program that allows estimation of air concentrations) to determine the amount of each substance to which someone living near a training site might be exposed. Downwind concentrations were estimated based on a typical use scenario for the .22 Caliber Ball SV during training exercises. Since this study did not look at any one specific training area, the assumptions used in the model would, in most cases, predict higher downwind air concentrations than those expected at an actual training site.

These estimated air concentrations were then compared to screening levels established by the USEPA and other federal agencies. If the air concentrations are below these screening levels, they are considered safe for the general population, including sensitive people such as the sick, elderly, and children.

#### WHAT ARE THE STUDY LIMITATIONS?

Many steps were taken to ensure that the results of this study are protective of residents who live near training facilities. However, as with any study, this study has limitations. For example, the study does not consider exposure to other types of munitions that could also be used during the same training event. Due to these limitations, conservative model conditions were used to ensure the protection of public health from breathing .22 Caliber Ball SV air emissions.

# WHAT EXACTLY IS THE LONG RIFLE .22 CALIBER BALL CARTRIDGE, STANDARD VELOCITY?

The .22 Caliber Ball SV is a type of ball ammunition, which means that it is intended for use against unarmored targets. The .22 Caliber Ball SV is used on firing ranges during training. It has a copper alloy cartridge case and lead-antimony bullet. It also contains a propelling charge that consists mostly of nitrocellulose. Nitrocellulose is the primary ingredient in smokeless propellant (for both military and commercial use) and is also used in the production of lacquers and artificial leathers.

### WHERE CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

For more information on the .22 Caliber Ball SV or other military munitions, please call the Army Environmental Hotline at 1-800-USA-3845, visit our Web site at www.aec.army.mil, or e-mail <a href="mailto:t2hotline@aec.apgea.army.mil">t2hotline@aec.apgea.army.mil</a>.